## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA ANACAPA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SUNSEEKER ENTERPRISES, INC., dba SUN FIREDEFENSE; JAMES MOSELEY, an individual; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

) Case No. 19CV04083

) Volume III

**CERTIFIED ORIGINAL** 

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAMES MOSELEY

Los Angeles, California

Friday, January 14, 2022

Reported by:

ED V. SERRANO, CSR No. 7469

Job No.: 35251CAT(A)(V)



Court Reporters, Inc. 920 West 17th Street Second Floor Santa Ana, California 92706

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3	ANACAPA DIVISION
4	
5	
6	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ) Case No. 19CV04083
7	CALIFORNIA, ) Volume III
8	Plaintiff, )
9	vs. )
10	SUNSEEKER ENTERPRISES, INC., dba ) SUN FIREDEFENSE; JAMES MOSELEY, )
11	an individual; and DOES 1 through 10, ) inclusive,
12	Defendants. )
13	)
14	7
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAMES MOSELEY,
17	taken remotely via Zoom videoconferencing on
18	behalf of the Plaintiff, at 200 North Main Street,
19	City Hall East, Fifth Floor, Los Angeles,
20	California, commencing at 9:07 a.m. and
21	concluding at 10:51 a.m. on Friday, January 14, 2022,
22	reported by ED V. SERRANO, CSR No. 7469, a Certified
23	Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California,
24	pursuant to Notice.
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2 3 4	CR BR By	TY ATTORNEY OF LOS ANGELES IMINAL AND SPECIAL LITIGATION ANCH : MIGUEL J. RUIZ CHRISTINA V. TUSAN
5	Fi	0 North Main Street fth Floor
6	LO	s Angeles, California 90012
7		UNTY OF SANTA BARBARA STRICT ATTORNEY
8	Ву	: MORGAN S. LUCAS 12 Santa Barbara Street
9		nta Barbara, California 93101
10		
11		W OFFICES OF WILLIAM D. CHAPMAN: WILLIAM D. CHAPMAN
12		4 Pacifica ite 450
13	Ir	vine, California 92618
14	,	
15	The VIDEOGRAPHER: C.	HRISTOPHER CHAIN
16	·	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25		

248

		· 1
1	INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE
3	MR. RUIZ	251
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	EXHIBITS	
11	PLAINTIFF'S	PAGE
12	2 - E-mail String between DJ Wetmore and Fire Protection Consultant/Chemist Warren Harris	263
13	2-26-19 through 3-13-19 Re: SPF 3000 Clear Spray Purchase Inquiry	
14	12 - E-mail from James Moseley to DJ Wetmore and	274
15	Andrew Elkins Re: Sun FireDefense Website Content and Description 7-20-18	271
16	89 - E-mail from Annmarie Aronoff to Dave	260
17	Timperley Re: Necessity of Steel Containers for Storage of SPF 3000 as opposed to	
18	Plastic Containers 8-15-18	
19	92 - Letter from Ken Sissons to James Moseley Re: Testing Results and Longevity of	293
20	SPF 3000 Clear Spray 12-28-21	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Los Angeles, California, Friday, January 14, 2022	
2	9:07 a.m.	
3		
4		
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Here begins the	09:07:43
6	remote deposition of James Moseley in the matter of the	09:07:45
7	People of the State of California versus Sunseeker	09:07:50
8	Enterprises, Inc., et al. This case is in the Superior	09:07:55
9	Court of the State of California, County of Santa	09:07:57
10	Barbara. Case number is 19CV04083. Today's date is	09:08:00
11	January 14th, 2022 and the time on the recording is	09:08:08
12	9:08 a.m. This is a remote deposition through Zoom	09:08:13
13	videoconferencing. The videographer today is	09:08:18
14	Christopher Chain, appearing for Kennedy Court Reporters.	09:08:21
15	Will all counsel please identify yourselves and	09:08:24
16	state whom you represent?	09:08:26
17	MR. RUIZ: This is Miguel Ruiz with the Los Angeles	09:08:28
18	City Attorney's Office on behalf of Plaintiff the People	09:08:31
19	of the State of California.	09:08:34
20	MS. TUSAN: Christina Tusan on behalf of the People	09:08:38
21	of the State of California.	09:08:41
22	MS. LUCAS: Morgan Lucas on behalf of the People of	09:08:43
23	the State of California with the Santa Barbara District	09:08:45
24	Attorney's Office.	09:08:47
25	MR. CHAPMAN: This is Bill Chapman on behalf of	09:08:52

1	Defendant Jim Moseley.	09:08:53
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.	09:08:57
3	Our reporter today is Ed Serrano. Will the	09:08:59
4	reporter please swear in our witness?	09:09:01
5		09:09:01
6	JAMES MOSELEY,	09:09:19
7	called as a Witness, and having been first duly sworn	09:09:19
8	by the Certified Shorthand Reporter, was examined and	09:09:19
9	testified as follows:	09:09:19
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	09:09:22
11		09:09:23
12	EXAMINATION	09:09:23
13	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:09:23
14	Q Welcome back, Mr. Moseley, to the second part	09:09:23
15	of your deposition. I know we went over this the first	09:09:26
16	time, but I'm just gonna go over some some ground	09:09:30
17	rules briefly just so we're all on the same page again.	09:09:33
18	A Uh-huh.	09:09:36
19	Q Because this is a Zoom deposition and we're	09:09:36
20	doing this remotely, I just have to ask is there anyone	09:09:38
21	else in present in the room with you?	09:09:41
22	A No.	09:09:43
23	Q And can you see and hear me clearly?	09:09:43
24	A Yes, I can.	09:09:46
25	Q And will you let me know if, you know, the	09:09:47

		İ
1	you know, your internet is slow or something gets	09:09:49
2	garbled and and you didn't didn't hear me?	09:09:52
3	A Absolutely.	09:09:54
4	Q Okay. Now, as the court reporter just swore	09:09:54
5	you in, you recognize that you're under oath and it's	09:09:59
6	the same as if you were testifying in a court of law?	09:10:01
7	A Okay.	09:10:04
8	Q And we have this court reporter. So he's	09:10:06
9	taking down a written record, and so you have to give	09:10:09
10	verbal responses and it has to be a, you know, "yes" or	09:10:12
11	"no." A a nod or a head shrug is sort of	09:10:14
12	insufficient. Is that is that okay?	09:10:16
13	A Understood.	09:10:18
14	Q And we can't talk over each other. And we're	09:10:19
15	doing a good job so far of you know, I you let me	09:10:22
16	ask my questions and I'll do my best to let you finish	09:10:24
17	your question. Okay?	09:10:27
18	A I am, yes.	09:10:28
19	Q And also that pause might allow your counsel to	09:10:29
20	insert any objections, um, he's perfectly allowed	09:10:33
21	allowed to do; but, I also want you to understand that	09:10:36
22	if he does not instruct you to answer, you must answer	09:10:39
23	my question. Is that is that understood?	09:10:42
24	A Understood.	09:10:45
25	Q And because you're under oath, it's important	09:10:48

1	of course that you understand my question. So if I say	09:10:50
2	something that doesn't make any sense to you or I use a	09:10:52
3	word that's not how you would use it, will you will	09:10:55
4	you let me know and I'll try to ask a better question?	09:10:58
5	A Yes.	09:11:01
6	Q And if you don't let me know, then I'm going to	09:11:01
7	assume that you understood my question. Is that fair?	09:11:05
8	A That's fair.	09:11:07
9	Q And I mentioned this previously, but again, we	09:11:10
10	can take a break any time you like. I'll try and take a	09:11:12
11	break once an hour or so anyway; but, if you need one	09:11:16
12	sooner, that's fine. You should you can ask for it.	09:11:19
13	The only thing I ask is that if there's a question	09:11:22
14	pending, you answer the question before we go on break.	09:11:24
15	Is that okay?	09:11:26
16	A That's fine.	09:11:27
17	Q All right. Are you taking any medication or	09:11:29
18	drugs of any kind which might make it difficult for you	09:11:33
19	to to give true and complete testimony today?	09:11:36
20	A No.	09:11:40
21	Q Had a had any alcohol in the last eight	09:11:43
22	hours or so?	09:11:44
23	A No.	09:11:46
24	Q Now, since the last time this deposition	09:11:51
25	started in or in March of 2020, have you done	09:11:55

1	anything to prepare for the continuation of this dep	09:12:00
2	of this deposition today?	09:12:03
3	A Not	09:12:06
4	MR. CHAPMAN: I'm gonna I'm just gonna I know	09:12:06
5	you probably meant this.	09:12:08
6	But Mr. Moseley, do not reveal any	09:12:10
7	communications you've had with me, Mr. McEwen, or any	09:12:12
8	other of your lawyers.	09:12:17
9	THE WITNESS: Then it would be no.	09:12:20
10	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:12:22
11	Q Let me clar I don't want the contents of	09:12:22
12	anything that you spoke about with your attorneys; but,	09:12:26
13	did you speak with any attorneys in preparation for	09:12:30
14	today's deposition?	09:12:34
15	A Yes.	09:12:35
16	Q And which which attorneys were those?	09:12:36
17	A Bill Chapman.	09:12:40
18	Q And again, without talking about without	09:12:43
19	revealing any of the substance of that conversation,	09:12:46
20	when did you talk with Mr. Chapman about today's	09:12:50
21	deposition?	09:12:52
22	A Yesterday.	09:12:54
23	Q Um, for approximately how long did you speak	09:12:57
24	with Mr. Chapman?	09:12:59
25	A Five to 10 minutes.	09:13:02

1	Q Have you reviewed any documents in preparation	09:13:08
2	for today's deposition?	09:13:10
3	A Just the ones that we found that we gave to you	09:13:12
4	this morning.	09:13:18
5	Q So when you you reference "the ones that we	09:13:21
6	found this morning," would those be the 20 or so nasty	09:13:24
7	e-mails that Mr. Chapman provided this morning?	09:13:27
8	A Yes.	09:13:31
9	Q Other than those nasty e-mails, have you	09:13:34
10	reviewed any other documents in preparation for this	09:13:36
11	deposition?	09:13:39
12	A Today's deposition? Not that I recall.	09:13:41
13	Q Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with	09:13:46
14	anyone else about today's deposition?	09:13:51
15	A No.	09:13:54
16	Q All right. Now, again, I'm gonna go back and	09:14:15
17	talk about sort of SPF 3000. And and again, we	09:14:17
18	discussed it earlier, but where do you currently store	09:14:23
19	SPF 3000?	09:14:27
20	A We don't really store it. We have it made per	09:14:30
21	you know, per job if we're going to use it, but	09:14:34
22	that's it. There might be one can or two can that comes	09:14:40
23	directly to the job site or to our contractors. I might	09:14:44
24	have one 5-gallon here at any time, but it's not a	09:14:48
25	storage facility.	09:14:52

1	Q Did you ever store SPF 3000 in a storage	09:14:55
2	facility?	09:15:00
3	A Yes.	09:15:00
4	Q Where was that facility?	09:15:01
5	A It was the boatyard in the marina.	09:15:03
6	Q And when was the last time that you stored it	09:15:14
7	at at the boatyard?	09:15:19
8	A It's probably been a couple of years ago, year	09:15:23
9	and a half maybe. Maybe pre pre-pandemic I guess.	09:15:29
10	Time just really flew. But I think it's pre-pandemic,	09:15:33
11	yeah.	09:15:37
12	Q Is there a reason that you stopped storing SPF	09:15:39
13	3000 at the boatyard?	09:15:43
14	A Yes.	09:15:44
15	Q What was that reason?	09:15:45
16	A Couldn't make the rent on time and	09:15:47
17	Q Was there any ever was there ever any damage	09:16:03
18	caused at the boatyard as a result of storing SPF	09:16:06
19	3000?	09:16:14
20	A Yes, there was some spillage, a a minor	09:16:14
21	amount of spillage.	09:16:16
22	Q And what kind of damage did the spillage call	09:16:23
23	cause?	09:16:26
24	A Just staining. Because it was fairly new	09:16:29
25	concrete, it was a fairly new facility, so there was	09:16:32

1	some staining and we took care of it. And I believe	09:16:35
2	Dale went out and fixed it.	09:16:39
3	Q Was there any physical damage other than	09:16:49
4	staining, such as creating any holes or otherwise	09:16:53
5	damaging the the surface in the storage room?	09:16:57
6	A No.	09:17:00
7	Q Would you describe SPF 3000 as corrosive?	09:17:20
8	A If it was in	09:17:25
9	MR. CHAPMAN: Objection, vague. Do you mean in the	09:17:27
10	liquid state or after it's been sprayed and hardened?	09:17:30
11	MR. RUIZ: Mr. Moseley, did you can answer my	09:17:40
12	question.	09:17:41
13	THE WITNESS: What what?	09:17:43
14	MR. RUIZ: I said you can answer my question. But I	09:17:43
15	I'll I'll repeat it.	09:17:45
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.	09:17:47
17	MR. RUIZ: Would you consider SPF 3000 corrosive?	09:17:47
18	MR. CHAPMAN: Objection, vague.	09:17:51
19	THE WITNESS: Not once it's dry.	09:17:56
20	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:18:00
21	Q But in it in its liquid state would you	09:18:00
22	consider it corrosive?	09:18:02
23	A If it was left to set long enough it could be;	09:18:04
24	but, we don't leave it sit around for long periods of	09:18:10
25	time. It would be like leaving, I don't know, some of	09:18:15

		ļ
1	the types of fuels or something. It has has acetone	09:18:24
2	in it, so but we never left it laying around that	09:18:27
3	long.	09:18:31
4	Q What if you applied SPF 3000 to to certain	09:18:37
5	metals? Would it would it be corrosive on those	09:18:42
6	metals?	09:18:45
7	A I would have to see the type of metal. If it	09:18:46
8	was a thin aluminum can or something, possibly. The	09:18:49
9	manufacturer supplies, you know, specific cans that deal	09:19:00
10	with certain chemicals, so that doesn't occur in most	09:19:06
11	yeah, as far as I know.	09:19:11
12	Q So when you say "manufacturer," are you	09:19:16
13	referring to Engineered Coatings?	09:19:20
14	A Yes.	09:19:24
15	Q And is that only in reference then to the high	09:19:31
16	temperature base?	09:19:35
17	A Correct.	09:19:36
18	Q Engineered Coat is is and again, let	09:19:39
19	me just go I'll take a step back. My understanding	09:19:41
20	is that SPF 3000 is a combination of Master Flame, the	09:19:44
21	high temperature base, and some Inconel powder. Is that	09:19:51
22	fair?	09:19:54
23	A That's fair.	09:19:55
24	Q And Engineered Coatings does not produce the	09:20:00
25	combination, right? It only sends the high temperature	09:20:06

1	base?	09:20:11
2	A I don't understand that question.	09:20:12
3	Q Sure, sure, let me put so does	09:20:13
4	Engineered Coatings do any of the combination of	09:20:17
5	combining the high temperature base, the Inconel powder,	09:20:19
6	and the Master Flame to produce SPF 3000?	09:20:22
7	A No.	09:20:25
8	Q So so that was my understanding. So so	09:20:32
9	that that my question's gonna be so	09:20:34
10	when you're talking about "storing" it, do you store SPF	09:20:36
11	3000 essentially in its separately, in its component	09:20:41
12	separate component parts? Or how does it get stored	09:20:45
13	when it's all sort of sort of combined together?	09:20:48
14	A I mean it just goes in its parts directly to	09:20:51
15	the job site normally, or one of our contractors, we get	09:20:54
16	it to him.	09:20:58
17	Q But do your contractors do the combination	09:21:02
18	themselves, or do you sort of sort of combine sort of	09:21:06
19	the the the components into SPF 3000 and then	09:21:11
20	deliver it to to the contractors?	09:21:13
21	A Mostly myself. But they could do it as well.	09:21:17
22	It's it's not a, you know, difficult thing.	09:21:21
23	Q And so when you do it yourself, uh, my question	09:21:28
24	is how do you store that SPF 3000? Do you keep it in	09:21:32
25	the high temperature base container, or is there a	09:21:38

1	separate container that you would use?	09:21:41
2	A It's whatever container Engineered Coatings	09:21:44
3	delivers it in. I think that we supply it with its	09:21:48
4	markings and things like that. You know, flammable 3	09:21:59
5	liquid sort of thing.	09:22:02
6	MR. RUIZ: Okay. I wanna yeah, can I pull up	09:22:09
7	Exhibit 89?	09:22:12
8	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 89 was referenced for	09:22:35
9	identification by Mr. Ruiz.)	09:22:35
10	MR. RUIZ: And this is an e-mail chain Bates-stamped	09:22:37
11	Sunfire Doc #400-392 to Sunfire Doc #400-393. Are you	09:22:42
12	familiar with this e-mail chain, Mr. Moseley?	09:22:53
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Let me read it for a sec.	09:23:00
14	(Short pause)	09:23:07
15	MR. CHAPMAN: Miguel, is there I can only see and	09:23:10
16	I assume Mr. Moseley can only see what's on the screen.	09:23:12
17	So if you're asking him about a document, I think he	09:23:15
18	should look at the whole thing.	09:23:17
19	MR. RUIZ: If he wants yeah, if he wants to to	09:23:20
20	to to read to read the whole thing he he	09:23:23
21	he can. I was just	09:23:24
22	MR. CHAPMAN: What yeah, what I mean is I don't	09:23:26
23	think he can scroll down. It's just	09:23:27
24	THE WITNESS: You know what? The one you're asking	09:23:31
25	about, Annmarie, the one "Hi, Dave," I'm not sure	09:23:31

1	would that be I don't oh, Dave Timperley, okay,	09:23:37
2	with Bonneville Power. Yes, I I mean I I haven't	09:23:40
3	seen this specifically before, but it's been a while,	09:23:46
4	but it looks like it could be a familiar type thing if	09:23:52
5	you want to ask me a question.	09:23:56
6	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:23:57
7	Q Yeah, I just want to make clear	09:23:57
8	"jim@sunfiredefense.com," is that an e-mail that goes to	09:24:00
9	you?	09:24:04
10	A Yes. Yeah.	09:24:04
11	Q Okay. So actually, I want to start at the	09:24:06
12	e-mail below. It appears from Dave to	09:24:09
13	MR. RUIZ: Right, stop there.	09:24:13
14	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	09:24:13
15	MR. RUIZ: Little bit.	09:24:14
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, sounds like a tournament we were	09:24:16
17	doing for utility companies.	09:24:17
18	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:24:20
19	Q Right. And and this e-mail from	09:24:20
20	"timperley8," who I believe you said is Dave Timperley	09:24:22
21		09:24:26
22	A Uh-huh.	09:24:26
23	Q says, "We are looking at changing our	09:24:26
24	5-gallon containers. The ones that we are currently	09:24:28
25	using are leaking and not holding up."	09:24:31

1	A Uh-huh.	09:24:33
2	Q So what if you recall, what containers were	09:24:35
3	they using at this at this tournament to store SPF	09:24:41
4	3000?	09:24:46
5	A I I mean it seems like they've always been	09:24:47
6	the same; but, they could have changed out containers,	09:24:49
7	you know, a long the way, you know? But I don't I	09:24:52
8	can't recall exactly which containers, but	09:24:59
9	Q But was sort of leakage a a a common	09:25:06
10	occurrence for SPF 3000 containers?	09:25:09
11	A No. It could have been damaged in you know,	09:25:12
12	in the transportation or something like that. That does	09:25:17
13	happen from time to time. There's also pressure issues,	09:25:19
14	you know, when you have acetone or something like that.	09:25:31
15	So if it was banged around or something like that, you	09:25:34
16	know.	09:25:41
17	Q Now, if you'll go up scroll up on	09:25:41
18	(indiscernible) uh, where AnnMarie says, "The formula	09:25:45
19	cannot be stored in plastic."	09:25:52
20	A Correct.	09:25:55
21	Q Why is that?	09:25:56
22	A Well, I mean we've stored it in plastic before,	09:25:58
23	but plastic tends we've get gotten shipments	09:26:01
24	before and they tend to break easily. They're not as	09:26:06
25	sturdy.	09:26:16
1		

1	Q So in in the sentence before, where she says	09:26:18
2	that the it says, "Our supplier stated we must use	09:26:20
3	the steel containers"	09:26:23
4	A Uh-huh.	09:26:26
5	Q is that supplier in reference to Engineered	09:26:27
6	Coatings?	09:26:30
7	A I guess, yes. I mean by reading this, yes.	09:26:32
8	MR. RUIZ: All right. I want to go now to Exhibit	09:26:53
9	2.	09:26:56
10	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 was referenced for	09:27:00
11	identification by Mr. Ruiz.)	09:27:00
12	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:27:17
13	Q And this is an e-mail chain Bates-stamped	09:27:17
14	Sunseeker-PL_0154 (sic) to Sunseeker-PL_01458.	09:27:21
15	Mr. Moseley, are you familiar with DJ Wetmore?	09:27:32
16	A Yes.	09:27:35
17	Q Who is he?	09:27:36
18	A A former sales person.	09:27:38
19	Q Was he knowledgeable about SPF 3000?	09:27:42
20	A Yes.	09:27:46
21	MR. RUIZ: So if we go to the bottom of page 2	09:27:50
22	leading into page 3 if you scroll up just one more,	09:28:00
23	just a little piece. Sorry, up stop. Thank you.	09:28:06
24	Good.	09:28:11
25	This is the e-mail from DJ Wetmore to Warren	09:28:14

1	Harris March 1st. It doesn't look like you were on this	09:28:18
2	e-mail; but, if you could read it read it to	09:28:21
3	yourself, this this little piece and and let me	09:28:25
4	know when you're ready.	09:28:28
5	(Short pause)	09:28:50
6	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm ready.	09:28:51
7	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:28:53
8	Q Okay. So the second sentence in that e-mail	09:28:53
9	says, "The the product does however, oxidize/corrode	09:28:56
10	metal surfaces if directly applied." Do you do you	09:28:59
11	agree with that?	09:29:04
12	A The oxidize part perhaps; but, no, not nec	09:29:05
13	not corrode.	09:29:12
14	Q And it says, "Typically, we sim" the next	09:29:16
15	sentence says, "Typically, we simply mask or otherwise	09:29:18
16	protect such areas during application." Is is that	09:29:21
17	an accurate statement?	09:29:24
18	A Yes.	09:29:27
19	Q So then is it fair to say that in a typical	09:29:30
20	application of SPF 3000, um, you would not apply it to	09:29:34
21	directly apply it to metal surfaces and you'd sort of	09:29:40
22	mask around those areas?	09:29:44
23	A It wouldn't hurt. The thing is like it never	09:29:46
24	(indiscernible) copper type of gutters and things like	09:29:52
25	that, and so you know, it could give it a oh, what's	09:29:55

that what's that term for greenish um, it could	09:29:59
oxidize it and turn it more of a greenish color. So	09:30:03
corroding isn't the word for it, but oxidizing could be	09:30:07
an issue so we always protect those areas. But we've	09:30:11
never had to replace anything that we've gotten it on.	09:30:18
Mainly just windows we'd want to mask off.	09:30:21
Q And and and why would you mask	09:30:24
windows?	09:30:25
A Because you don't want to get it on the windows	09:30:27
and have to get it off with a rag and deal with it.	09:30:29
Q Why not?	09:30:33
A Because it just gets, you know, dry if if	09:30:35
it dries it gets oh, what's the word? Just doesn't	09:30:38
stain the windows, but it's just a pain in the butt to	09:30:44
get it off. You know, it's meant to adhere.	09:30:47
Q I see. So if you if you sprayed SPF 3000 on	09:30:51
a window, would it sort of would it impact the	09:30:53
ability to see out of the window?	09:30:58
A Yes. Just like a paint. You wouldn't want to	09:31:00
paint your windows, right?	09:31:07
Q If you were going to remove SPF 3000 off off	09:31:09
a window, how how would you do that?	09:31:13
A Well, it's a waterbase solution, so and Dale	09:31:17
has a particular, you know, thing he uses. But if you	09:31:21
catch it quickly it just you know, it's you can	09:31:26

1	pretty much take it off with a you know, soap and	09:31:29
2	water I believe, you know? But Dale's never never	09:31:33
3	mentioned it to me much, you know? Because it's usually	09:31:36
4	not an issue that stands out, you know? If he gets it	09:31:38
5	on there we gotta clean it. So like getting paint on	09:31:41
6	your windows.	09:31:46
7	Q Uh-huh. And if it was allowed to sort of cure	09:31:49
8	or or or or set for a little bit, would you be	09:31:52
9	able to get it off the window after that?	09:31:55
10	A Yes.	09:31:58
11	Q How how would you do that?	09:31:58
12	A Scrape it probably.	09:32:00
13	Q The next sentence says, "If a product that is	09:32:07
14.	corrosive during application is a deal breaker for you,	09:32:10
15	we are likely not the right fit." Is is that an	09:32:13
16	accurate statement?	09:32:17
17	A Yes. Now, let me change that. It's not	09:32:19
18	corrosive. In DJ Wetmore's, you know, correspondence	09:32:27
19	here he really means oxidize and not corrode. Because I	09:32:34
20	can put this on a metal surface and it's not going to	09:32:38
21	corrode it. It just could oxidize it and change some	09:32:42
22	type of color. So I would say that's not a correct	09:32:45
23	statement for him. But he was very new.	09:32:49
24	Q Sorry, I and again, didn't mean to	09:32:57
25	interrupt. Uh, the first sentence says, "We have had	09:32:59

1	little issue with adhesion over other coatings or	09:33:05
2	discolora discoloration." Is that a fair	09:33:08
3	statement?	09:33:13
4	A I don't recall having an issue with	09:33:14
5	different with adhesion ever and discoloration. I	09:33:16
6	haven't had that that I can recall. Never had a	09:33:23
7	complaint from a customer saying that. Had a complaint	09:33:27
8	from one person. They said that I think the paint or	09:33:30
9	something like that was chipping or peeling and we	09:33:33
10	offered to fix it. But it was a real old paint job and	09:33:36
11	that's the extent of it. Never had a complaint	09:33:41
12	regarding that.	09:33:44
13	Q Did you say only only one consumer has	09:33:45
14	complained about paint peeling, or were have there	09:33:47
15	been multiple?	09:33:49
16	A Only one that I can recall.	09:33:51
17	Q Did you typically apply SPF 3000 to exterior	09:34:02
18	surfaces over over paint?	09:34:09
19	A Sometimes we do, sometimes we don't.	09:34:13
20	Q When you mean sometimes you don't, what do you	09:34:18
21	mean? What would you do?	09:34:21
22	A Well, sometimes it's just the eaves, you know,	09:34:22
23	or the fascia board or there's decking, you know,	09:34:25
24	2-by-6s, things like that, that or if it's in the	09:34:29
25	framing stages, you know, it's raw wood. Things like	09:34:33

		i i
1	that.	09:34:38
2	Q Can you we didn't talk about this earlier,	09:34:47
3	but can you give me a rough estimate of essentially what	09:34:50
4	percentage of jobs you've applied SPF 3000 to involve	09:34:57
5	painted exteriors as compared to sort of a a framing	09:35:03
6	job as as you as you called it?	09:35:07
7	A I I can't recall what what percentage	09:35:11
8	that would be. I I don't know. Less than 50	09:35:13
9	percent.	09:35:18
10	Q Okay. Less than 50 percent of the SPF 3000	09:35:22
11	jobs were applied to painted exteriors, or were applied	09:35:25
12	to frame issues? Or I'm sorry, framing jobs.	09:35:29
13	A I could you rephrase that?	09:35:35
14	Q Absolutely, yeah. Maybe I'll just ask it a	09:35:37
15	a more simpler question. What again, using your	09:35:40
16	best estimate	09:35:43
17	A Uh-huh.	09:35:44
18	Q what percentage of SPF 3000 jobs involve	09:35:45
19	applying SPF 3000 to painted exterior surfaces?	09:35:53
20	A I don't know what that percentage would be.	09:36:01
21	I'd have to do some calculating or some I can't think	09:36:05
22	on that. I don't know. Not not a big amount. Less	09:36:08
23	than 50 percent. Easily probably less than 30	09:36:12
24	percent.	09:36:15
25	Q How many what per let me I'll back up.	09:36:25

l		
1	Again, using your best estimate, what percentage of jobs	09:36:31
2	in which you applied SPF 3000 were to what you called	09:36:35
3	"framing jobs?"	09:36:40
4	A More than 50 percent? Because typically Master	09:36:44
5	Flame doesn't really do a whole lot for I don't know,	09:36:49
6	longevity-wise I don't know how long it would last. And	09:36:54
7	as an additive, you know, it would make it last much	09:36:59
8	longer.	09:37:03
9	Q When you say that Master Flame doesn't last	09:37:07
10	that long, what what what do you what do you	09:37:09
11	mean by that?	09:37:11
12	A When you see it, it it it would it	09:37:12
13	just doesn't have the viscosity, the the you know,	09:37:15
14	the I don't know how to term it the grit, you	09:37:19
15	know, the sustainability as a as a you know, if	09:37:22
16	you were if you were gonna do a decking on a boat,	09:37:25
17	you know how you or stain a stain a deck, you	09:37:28
18	know, you would hardly know it's on there. I would	09:37:33
19	think after six months or a year it needs something	09:37:36
20	that's more of a you know, a sealant. And that's	09:37:40
21	what SPF 3000 gives it more of that sealant. That's	09:37:47
22	why longevity.	09:37:53
23	Q So if more than 50 percent of your jobs were	09:38:01
24	these these frame jobs, does this mean that you	09:38:06
25	SPF 3000 is mostly used sort of on on on new	09:38:08

		1
1	construction?	09:38:11
2	A It can be used a variety of ways. I mean it's	09:38:12
3	approved to be able to use. It has the E84 rating on	09:38:16
4	the with the Cal Fire lab and the extended E84 rating	09:38:21
5	which is 30 minutes. And so yeah, that would be good	09:38:26
6	intended use.	09:38:33
7	Q Yeah, I yeah, no, I'm just asking like what	09:38:35
8	what you would consider a typical SPF 3000 job is,	09:38:37
9	since you said sort of less than 30 percent was sort of,	09:38:41
10	you know, applying it to, you know, an already painted	09:38:46
11	exterior.	09:38:50
12	A Uh-huh. You can do that, you can apply it to a	09:38:51
13	painting exterior; but, you gotta make sure the paint's	09:38:57
14	in good shape and you know, you power wash it to make	09:39:01
15	sure there's no particles or anything on it, you know?	09:39:04
16	It's only as good as the surface you apply it to. You	09:39:08
17	know, if it had an issue where the surface was gonna	09:39:10
18	peel off or something like that, then obviously, you	09:39:14
19	know, it's what you'd have to contend with.	09:39:17
20	Q So for so if you were applying SPF 3000 to a	09:39:23
21	painted exterior, would you always power wash the	09:39:30
22	exterior surface prior to application?	09:39:33
23	A Yes.	09:39:36
24	Q And all of your contractors knew to do this?	09:39:44
25	A Yes.	09:39:47

1	Q How how did they know that?	09:39:49
2	A A, they were told to; B, it's common sense.	09:39:53
3	You know, like if you were painting a house you wouldn't	09:39:55
4	apply you know, no no contractor would just throw	09:39:59
5	it over or spray it over loose I mean if there was	09:40:02
6	dirt on it or something it wouldn't make sense.	09:40:05
7	Q Was that a a a separate charge to	09:40:10
8	to sort of the power wash? Is that part of the sort	09:40:13
9	of	09:40:16
10	A That's part of it. That, mask off the windows.	09:40:17
11	Q Now, you said that SPF 3000 could be used in	09:40:36
12	sort of a new construction, that it was, as you said,	09:40:40
13	approved to do so. But do you recall actually having	09:40:44
14	applied SPF 3000 to a new construction?	09:40:49
15	A Yes.	09:40:53
16	Q Approximately how many times?	09:40:54
17	A I don't remember.	09:40:57
18	Q Was it more than 10 times?	09:40:59
19	A Yes.	09:41:02
20	Q More than a hundred?	09:41:04
21	A No.	09:41:05
22	Q More than 20 times?	09:41:25
23	A Yeah, I'd say you're closing in on it.	09:41:28
24	Q How about how about less than 50?	09:41:33
25	A How about more than 30?	09:41:36

1	Q So more than 30 but so how can can	09:41:46
2	can I say more than 30 but less than 50? Would that be	09:41:48
3	fair?	09:41:51
4	A Okay, Miguel, you can say that.	09:41:52
5	Q Again, I I I don't need you to	09:41:54
6	guess, but but just your your your your best	09:41:56
7	estimate. I	09:41:59
8	A Yeah, best estimate around 30 probably.	09:42:00
9	Q I'm gonna ask you a similar question, um, about	09:42:12
10	applying SPF 3000 to homes that already sort of had a	09:42:15
11	painted exterior. Can you give me a best of how many	09:42:21
12	how many times you've done that?	09:42:24
13	A Probably around that same number.	09:42:26
14	Q So around around 30 then? Is that fair?	09:42:30
15	A I mean as yeah, sorry, I have to guess. I	09:42:34
16	just don't recall. But that sounds like a fair	09:42:37
17	number.	09:42:40
18	Q Do you remember who complained about paint	09:42:57
19	peeling?	09:43:00
20	A Yes. It was the only one. It was oh, God,	09:43:03
21	what's her name? Marylou Hamill, Mark Hamill's wife.	09:43:10
22	But she was she was antagonized by this guy Bobby	09:43:15
23	Milstein at a at an event. So, you know, it's a real	09:43:20
24	simple thing. It's it's probably around some old,	09:43:25
25	old windows that she had that weren't opening and	09:43:28

1	closing well anyway. And then he started in on her, you	09:43:31
2	know, creating seeds of doubt on my product. And then	09:43:33
3	at that point I asked, "Hey, we'll we'll fix it."	09:43:38
4	Because that's a simple simple	09:43:42
5	MR. CHAPMAN: I'm I'm gonna I'm gonna	09:43:44
6	object to the answer and move to strike everything after	09:43:45
7	"Mark Hamill's wife."	09:43:48
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.	09:43:53
9	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:43:58
10	Q So other other than Ms. Hamill, you don't	09:43:58
11	recall anybody any other consumer complaining about	09:44:03
12	paint peeling. Is that right?	09:44:08
13	A No.	09:44:09
14	Q Are you familiar with the the website for	09:44:36
15	Sun FireDefense?	09:44:39
16	A Yes.	09:44:41
17	Q Who who controlled the the the content	09:44:46
18	on on Sun FireDefense.com?	09:44:47
19	A It moved around a lot. I would offer up	09:44:51
20	content, you know, other people would offer up content	09:44:55
21	that worked for me. Yeah.	09:44:59
22	Q All right. Uh, but did did you have final	09:45:06
23	say on on the content that appeared on the website?	09:45:08
24	A Yes.	09:45:11
25	MR. RUIZ: So if we could pull up Exhibit 12.	09:45:15

1	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 was referenced for	09:45:17
2	identification by Mr. Ruiz.)	09:45:17
3	THE WITNESS: I'm just gonna turn off the air.	09:45:26
4	(Short pause)	09:45:45
5	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:45:45
6	Q This is a an e-mail that had been previously	09:45:45
7	produced at Bates-stamped Sunseeker-PL_00127. Are	09:45:49
8	you familiar with this e-mail, Mr. Moseley?	09:45:57
9	A Yes.	09:46:01
10	Q And we we talked about DJ Wetmore	09:46:04
11	previously. Do you know who Andrew Elkins is?	09:46:06
12	A I don't remember who Andrew Elkins is. I don't	09:46:11
13	remember who Andrew Elkins is. Maybe somebody that	09:46:18
14	worked on the website.	09:46:22
15	MR. CHAPMAN: Mr. Moseley, be sure not to guess.	09:46:29
16	THE WITNESS: I don't remember Andrew Elkins.	09:46:33
17	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:46:37
18	Q So the first sentence in the e-mail is: "Could	09:46:37
19	you guys do me a favor and pull a couple of things off	09:46:39
20	of the the website?"	09:46:43
21	A Yes.	09:46:44
22 .	Q Is that something you would typically do?	09:46:46
23	Instruct DJ Wetmore or or or whoever to modify the	09:46:49
24	website?	09:46:52
25	A Yes.	09:46:53

-	Q And there it says the next sentence says,	09:47:10
)	"Anywhere it might be BEST RATED or TOP RATED."	09:47:13
}	A Yes.	09:47:17
	Q (Extraneous noise/inaudible) have them take	09:47:20
i	that off the the website.	09:47:23
;	A Yes.	09:47:25
'	Q Yeah no, I'm I'm sorry sorry. Why did	09:47:26
	you instruct them to to take that off the website?	09:47:27
ı	A It was I think it was you guys. It was	09:47:35
	saying, 'Well, there's not really a best rated or a top	09:47:38
	rated.' And then when I looked at it, I agreed, and so	09:47:41
	I told him to pull it off. You know, I to me it	09:47:45
	seemed unreal. It seemed, you know it's you know,	09:47:49
	it wasn't a correct statement.	09:47:53
	On the other hand, a best rated product, the	09:48:00
	it would be synonymous with a class A rating though. If	09:48:05
	you said it was "Hey, does your product have a class	09:48:10
	A rating?" you know, that could be determined that, but	09:48:12
	it sounded kind of corny anyway, you know?	09:48:18
	Q Are you familiar with the website	09:48:32
	sunfiredefenseNW.com?	09:48:35
	A I'm familiar with it; but, I don't have	09:48:41
	anything to do with that one except, you know, my cousin	09:48:43
	is up in Oregon.	09:48:47
	Q Okay. And so is that website not run by you or	09:48:50
- 1		1

1	Sunseeke	r Enterprises?	09:48:55
2	А	Correct.	09:48:57
3	Q	And when you say "cousin" are you referring to	09:49:03
4	Andy Su?		09:49:08
5	А	Uh, yes. There's a lot of fires up in that	09:49:09
6	area and	he wanted to help me.	09:49:23
7	Q	Does is there a corporate entity associated	09:49:28
8	with the	website sunfiredefenseNW.com?	09:49:36
9	А	I don't know.	09:49:40
10	Q	Are you do you have involvement with any	09:49:54
11	other co	rporate entities other than Sunseeker	09:50:01
12	Enterpri	ses the Defendant in this case that sell SPF	09:50:04
13	3000?		09:50:12
14	A	Do I have any other entities that sell it? No.	09:50:12
15	Q	Are you involved with any other corporate	09:50:25
16	entities	other than Sunseeker Enterprises the Defendant	09:50:27
17	in this	case that either offer a service or products	09:50:30
18	that inc	ludes SPF 3000?	09:50:37
19	А	No. Your your basically your question	09:50:41
20	was nobo	dy else is. We we don't have anybody else	09:50:54
21	selling	it. Isn't that your question?	09:50:57
22	Q	Well, yes, I I I'm just making	09:51:00
23	yeah, th	at that is that is a question, is is	09:51:01
24	is is	is coming up was was a little more	09:51:03
25	broad	that's a broader question than I intended. I	09:51:05

1	just meant that if you use any other corporate entity	09:51:08
2	other than yourself or Sunseeker Enterprises the	09:51:11
3	Defendant in this case to market or sell a product or	09:51:13
4	service involving SPF 3000.	09:51:20
5	A No. Got it.	09:51:22
6	Q The the broader question is yes, have you	09:51:24
7	authorized any individual or other corporate entity to	09:51:27
8	sell SPF 3000?	09:51:33
9	A No.	09:51:37
10	Q So does is Andy Su, for example, authorized	09:51:42
11	to sell SPF 3000?	09:51:48
12	A If he ever he sold something, I guess we would	09:51:49
13	be the ones selling it. It wouldn't be him. You know,	09:51:53
14	essentially we would be if he made a sale up there,	09:51:55
15	then we would ship it up there. He just wanted his own	09:52:01
16	separate thing like this, you know, acknowledging his	09:52:04
17	area.	09:52:07
18	Q So would it be fair to refer to Mr. Su then as	09:52:25
19	more of like an independent like sales associate?	09:52:28
20	MR. CHAPMAN: Objection, calls for a legal	09:52:35
21	conclusion, vague and ambiguous.	09:52:36
22	THE WITNESS: Do I still answer that? What do I do?	09:52:43
23	MR. CHAPMAN: If you can without guessing. I don't	09:52:47
24	want you to guess or speculate. But if if you	09:52:49
25	understand the question and then you can answer it.	09:52:52

1	THE WITNESS: Could could you repeat it then?	09:52:56
2	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:52:59
3	Q Yeah, I yes, I I I'm just trying to	09:52:59
4	understand Mr. Su's involvement with SPF 3000 in the	09:53:02
5	sense of your earlier testimony was that you said that,	09:53:10
6	you know, if were to find a sort of person to sell SPF	09:53:14
7	3000 to, you would be the per you you know, you	09:53:18
8	would be the one to make the sale. So I'm just trying	09:53:19
9	to sort of categorize his relationship with with you	09:53:24
10	and and and and Sunseeker. So I suggested an	09:53:27
11	independent sales associate in this case; but, if you	09:53:32
12	have a better definition or	09:53:33
13	A You know, I don't think so because he's a	09:53:36
14	full-time doctor, you know? He's a he's a	09:53:38
15	podiatrist, so he just has a so I don't see him ever	09:53:42
16	being a full-time sales person or anything like that.	09:53:48
17	He just knew people up there and you know, that were	09:53:52
18	interested in protecting their homes.	09:53:55
19	Q So have you ever made any sales through	09:54:00
20	Mr. Su?	09:54:04
21	A No.	09:54:05
22	Q Have you discussed compensation for Mr. Su if	09:54:11
23	he did sort of generate a sale for for SPF 3000?	09:54:15
24	A If he did a sale?	09:54:22
25	Q Yeah, did he get a commission? Or would he get	09:54:24

1	a commission?	09:54:26
2	A Yes, I'd give him a commission. He wasn't	09:54:28
3	asking for it, but I'd probably give him a commission.	09:54:30
4	Q So there's no the is there a written	09:54:33
5	agreement between you and Mr. Su regarding like the	09:54:37
6	amount of commission for example?	09:54:40
7	A No.	09:54:42
8	Q Does Mr. Su have any SPF 3000?	09:54:47
9	A No.	09:54:51
10	(Unidentified extraneous voices)	09:55:01
11	THE WITNESS: That wasn't me.	09:55:02
12	MR. CHAPMAN: I'm hearing some background	09:55:02
13	THE WITNESS: That wasn't me, okay? All my cute	09:55:04
14	kids are grown.	09:55:08
15	MR. RUIZ: Amazingly enough, I don't think it was me	09:55:11
16	either. But	09:55:13
17	MR. CHAPMAN: I'm in my office, so it it's not	09:55:16
18	me.	09:55:18
19	MS. TUSAN: Also not me.	09:55:23
20	MS. LUCAS: Or me.	09:55:25
21	MR. CHAPMAN: It's a mystery voice.	09:55:28
22	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	09:55:35
23	BY MR. RUIZ:	09:55:48
24	Q So other than Mr. Su, uh, are there any other	09:55:48
25	individuals or corporate entities that you have	09:55:54

		1
1	authorized to market SPF 3000 on your behalf?	09:55:58
2	A Yes.	09:56:04
3	Q Who?	09:56:05
4	A Well, it would be we have Mike Yakovich has	09:56:06
5	just joined our team recently.	09:56:11
6	Q Other than Mike Yakovich, are there any other	09:56:21
7	individuals or corporate entities that are authorized to	09:56:24
8	sell SPF 3000?	09:56:28
9	A Any other entities? No other entities that I	09:56:31
10	can recall. No, pretty much everybody quit after, you	09:56:35
11	know, your investigation. You know, everyone jumped	09:56:43
12	ship, you know?	09:56:47
13	Q What is Mr. Yakovich's role with the company?	09:56:51
14	A He's our general contractor.	09:56:56
15	Q Is he an employee of Sunseeker?	09:57:06
16	A No.	09:57:08
17	Q Does he have a written agreement to provide	09:57:18
18	services for Sunseeker?	09:57:20
19	A Maybe a a you know, a commission to him.	09:57:28
20	But yeah. If he sold something. I'm just saying he	09:57:38
21	applies he's our general contractor that applies and	09:57:44
22	has his own insurance and everything else.	09:57:48
23	Q Yeah, un un understood. And and	09:57:50
24	yeah, I and and and not in terms of I wasn't	09:57:51
25	necessarily referencing sales. Although I just meant	09:57:54

- 1		
1	in general does he have a written agreement that	09:57:58
2	describes sort of the scope of services, you know, he	09:58:02
3	offers Sunseeker?	09:58:06
4	A Um, were there sp go ahead could you	09:58:11
5	repeat that? I'm sorry, I turned off my ringer. It was	09:58:14
6	ringing and	09:58:17
7	Q You you say you said that Mr. Yakovich is	09:58:20
8	not an employee of of Sunseeker.	09:58:22
9	A Yes.	09:58:25
10	Q But is there a written agreement between	09:58:26
11	Sunseeker and Mr. Yakovich that describes the scope and	09:58:29
12	compensation for the services he provides Sunseeker?	09:58:34
13	A No, there's not a an agreement or a	09:58:39
14	contract. Just he has daily rates, you know.	09:58:42
15	Q I see. So is he then paid his his daily	09:58:51
16	rates for for every job he performs for Sunseeker.	09:58:57
17	Is that fair?	09:59:00
18	A Yeah. Yeah, he gets a daily rate. It's not	09:59:01
19	just about Sunfire prod I mean it's not just about	09:59:03
20	the coating. We do sprinklers, window laminates and	09:59:07
21	everything.	09:59:11
22	Q What is that daily rate?	09:59:19
23	A I don't recall. I believe it's probably around	09:59:23
24	\$350 per person, \$375 per person per day?	09:59:28
25	Q And do you know how many contractors	09:59:40

1	Mr. Yako	vich uses for a job for Sunseeker?	09:59:45
2	А	It varies.	09:59:50
3	Q	Is it more than 10?	09:59:54
4	А	Less than 10.	09:59:57
5	Q	Less than five?	10:00:08
6	А	Typically.	10:00:12
7	Q	And is Mr. Yakovich a licensed contractor?	10:00:16
8	А	Yes.	10:00:20
9	Q	When did he join Sunseeker?	10:00:28
10	А	I don't know. "Join" is kind of he has his	10:00:32
11	own comp	any. I mean he works with us he's been	10:00:35
12	working	with us for about a year.	10:00:38
13	Q	What's his company's name?	10:00:46
14	А	I believe it's just MYGC, which is Mike	10:00:51
15	Yakovich	General Contracting.	10:00:55
16	Q	What's your best estimate of how many projects	10:01:12
17	he's wor	ked on for Sunseeker?	10:01:17
18	A	Probably 10? I mean in that range, 10 to 15?	10:01:24
19	Q	And of those 10 to 15 projects, how many of	10:01:31
20	them has	he applied SPF 3000?	10:01:35
21	A	Probably two or three.	10:01:44
22	Q	And so projects in which he has not used SPF	10:01:57
23	3000, th	ose would use those would be the the	10:02:01
24	sprinkle	r system and the laminates and the other the	10:02:03
25	other se	rvices?	10:02:07

1	A Yes.	10:02:10
2	Q For the two to three houses that were or two	10:02:14
3	to three projects in which SPF 3000 was used, where are	10:02:17
4	those projects located?	10:02:21
5	A Around L.A.	10:02:23
6	Q Can you be more specific?	10:02:32
7	A Uh, let's see. Hidden Hills. Malibu. There's	10:02:39
8	one out in no, that that I can't remember	10:02:48
9	yeah, maybe one up in Laurel Canyon?	10:02:51
10	Q And I'm sorry, I missed your first answer.	10:02:57
11	That ended in Hills? Is that	10:03:00
12	A Hidden Hills.	10:03:01
13	Q Hidden Hills. Other than Mike Yakovich do you	10:03:04
14	use any other contractors, um, for Sunseeker projects	10:03:11
15	currently?	10:03:15
16	A No. Dale Walsh, he's dis he's out on	10:03:19
17	disability leave because of his knee and so we just	10:03:25
18	stream streamline everything through Mike.	10:03:32
19	Q Are you familiar with Ken Sissons?	10:03:57
20	A Yes.	10:04:00
21	Q What's your relationship to him?	10:04:04
22	A Consultant, you know? He works with us in	10:04:08
23	in that capacity.	10:04:14
24	Q When did you first meet him?	10:04:15
25	A Probably like I don't know, six years ago?	10:04:20

1	When he was at Bonneville Power.	10:04:24
2	Q So you're saying January 2016 approximately?	10:04:36
3	A I don't remember. It's kind of a blur when I	10:04:40
4	actually met him. So I can't I couldn't I	10:04:42
5	couldn't tell. '15? '16? Maybe even before that. I	10:04:46
6	don't know. It's been a while.	10:04:52
7	Q How how did you meet him?	10:04:56
8	A Uh, good question. I I don't recall how I	10:05:00
9	met him. I I think it was just Bonneville Power, um,	10:05:10
10	referred me to him I think. It's it's been a long	10:05:18
11	time ago. I don't know. I'm try let me I don't	10:05:20
12	know. I don't remember. Somebody introduced me to him	10:05:23
13	or inquired. It if somebody introduced me to him or	10:05:29
14	he in he inquired through Bonneville or Dave	10:05:34
15	Timperley did. Something like that.	10:05:37
16	Q Now, you you you said he's the a	10:05:43
17	consultant for Sunseeker. What does he have a set	10:05:45
18	consulting rate?	10:05:54
19	A No. And it has nothing to do with consumer.	10:05:56
20	It's it's utility markets. That's where his	10:05:58
21	expertise is.	10:06:01
22	Q But but you have paid him for his consulting	10:06:15
23	work. Is that is that fair?	10:06:17
24	A From time to time, yes.	10:06:19
25	Q Do you know do you know how much you paid	10:06:22

	him over over the years?	10:06:24
	A No. I mean I'll give him a few hundred here,	10:06:28
	five hundred here. You know, just I don't I don't	10:06:32
	know how much that would add up to.	10:06:34
	Q So you're saying you know, so you're	10:06:44
	ballparking this in the	10:06:47
	A Not a lot. You know, he doesn't doesn't	10:06:49
	demand a lot in his retirement, thank God. He's just a	10:06:51
	just a good, honest guy, you know, that really knows	10:06:56
	his stuff, you know?	10:07:00
	Q So you'd say maybe total compensation has been	10:07:04
	under a hundred-thousand dollars? Is that is that	10:07:08
	fair?	10:07:10
	A Oh, we're gonna do this again, huh? Yeah, it's	10:07:10
	under it's it's under 10. How's that? I would	10:07:14
	guess I would guess it's around the 10 mark, 10	10:07:16
	10K.	10:07:20
	Q Okay. Okay. Is he a shareholder in	10:07:21
	Sunseeker?	10:07:30
	A No.	10:07:31
	Q Has he ever been?	10:07:33
	A No.	10:07:35
	Q You mentioned he's worked with the in the	10:07:39
	utility market. Did would he get a a commission	10:07:43
	from any sales within the utility industry?	10:07:49
1		

1	A Yes, that would be my intention. I would	10:07:54
2	give if it's something that, you know, he got, then I	10:07:58
3	would I would work out a commission with him.	10:08:01
4	Q Did you have a set percentage?	10:08:06
5	A Yes.	10:08:09
6	Q What was that percentage?	10:08:11
7	A I believe it was 5 percent.	10:08:15
8	Q Did Mr. Sissons receive any commission for any	10:08:23
9	sales to Bonneville Power?	10:08:28
10	A No.	10:08:30
11	Q Why not?	10:08:35
12	A Yeah, it just seemed like I paid him for	10:08:37
13	consulting or something and it wasn't that big of an	10:08:40
14	order. I don't remember paying him an actual	10:08:43
15	commission. I don't know, maybe he thought there was a	10:08:46
16	conflict of interest. I don't know. I don't remember.	10:08:51
17	It's been a while back.	10:08:56
18	Q Other than sort of paying him for his	10:09:01
19	consulting work and potential commissions for sales in	10:09:04
20	the utility market, is there any other compensation that	10:09:07
21	you or Sunseeker provided to to Mr. Sissons?	10:09:10
22	A No.	10:09:15
23	Q You know, we we took a deposition of	10:09:23
24	Mr. Sissons last year and I $$ my understanding is that	10:09:24
25	one of the companies that he ran worked on selling Tiny	10:09:30

		İ
1	Homes? Do do you have any familiarity with that?	10:09:35
2	A Yes.	10:09:39
3	Q And I believe he testified that he would market	10:09:41
4	SPF 3000 for application on these on these Tiny	10:09:46
5	Homes. Is is that your recollection as well?	10:09:52
6	A That was the intention.	10:09:54
7	Q So did Mr. Sissons receive any commission for	10:10:00
8	those kinds of sales?	10:10:06
9	A No.	10:10:10
10	Q Would Mr. Sissons if Mr. Sissons were to	10:10:19
11	sell SPF 3000 on a Tiny Home to a consumer, would you	10:10:23
12	would you contract with the consumer directly or would	10:10:30
13	you sell it to Mr. Sisson's company?	10:10:34
14	A I don't know what we'd end up doing. It just	10:10:39
15	never quite got there. I don't know how we would do it.	10:10:42
16	The easiest way would be to do it you know, rather	10:10:50
17	than going consumer to consumer on a Tiny Home, you	10:10:55
18	know, just have it somehow done, you know, at at the	10:10:58
19	milling aspect of it, or you know, when they're just	10:11:06
20	cutting the lumber or something, have it pre-treated.	10:11:09
21	That would be the that would that would most	10:11:11
22	likely be a the easiest way to do it, you know?	10:11:14
23	Q Right, I understand. And if you were to and	10:11:20
24	if you were to sell SPF 3000 to, you know, one of	10:11:22
25	Mr. Sisson's companies, you wouldn't have any control	10:11:27

1	over how much he charged the consumer for that	10:11:31
2	application. Is that is that fair?	10:11:36
3	A Well, we would set something in you know, we	10:11:37
4	would set a price. You know, I wouldn't want him to go	10:11:40
5	crazy on it, you know. I mean I I would probably	10:11:43
6	want to do that, you know, in fairness to anybody in a	10:11:46
7	market. I wouldn't want him charging 20 bucks this	10:11:50
8	for this when it could be charged five; or, you know,	10:11:54
9	vice versa, I wouldn't want him undercutting it five	10:11:56
10	when we're charging 20. So	10:11:58
11	Q So, you know, you described Ken Sissons as a	10:12:08
12	consultant for Sunseeker. What sort of activities did	10:12:13
13	Mr. Sissons do as as a consultant?	10:12:17
14	A You know, it had to do more with gaining	10:12:22
15	knowledge in those particular areas. Um, for instance,	10:12:24
16	it's one thing to just treat a piece of wood. It's	10:12:34
17	another thing to know everything about a utility pole	10:12:38
18	and gain knowledge about a utility pole and how it	10:12:40
19	reacts and there's a lot of technical aspects to a	10:12:43
20	utility pole and how it's preserved and treated and so	10:12:47
21	forth.	10:12:53
22	Q Did did Mr. Sissons ever review any	10:12:57
23	advertising for you?	10:13:01
24	A I don't believe so.	10:13:04
25	MR. CHAPMAN: Miguel, are we getting near a break	10:13:08

1	point? You said every hour you're gonna take a break.	10:13:10
2	MR. RUIZ: Yeah, sorry. I yeah, I was just	10:13:13
3	going. Why don't we take a why don't we take a short	10:13:13
4	break and then we'll we can finish up obviously	10:13:16
5	Mr. Moseley's part of the deposition. It won't be that	10:13:20
6	much longer. And then we can, you know, move on to the	10:13:22
7	Sunseeker depo. So yeah, why don't we take uh, is	10:13:25
8	10 minutes? Is that okay, Mr. Moseley?	10:13:29
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.	10:13:31
10	MR. CHAPMAN: That's fine to me.	10:13:32
11	THE WITNESS: That's fine with me.	10:13:34
12	MR. RUIZ: Okay. All right. We'll	10:13:35
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going	10:13:35
14	MR. RUIZ: We'll come back in 10 minutes.	10:13:35
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the recorded at	10:13:38
16	10:13 a.m.	10:13:40
17	(Short break)	10:28:24
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at	10:28:24
19	10:28 a.m.	10:28:26
20	MR. RUIZ: I just wanted to follow up on on some	10:28:29
21	some points that we had - we talked about here today.	10:28:30
22	You mentioned Mike Yakovich doing contracting now and	10:28:35
23	Dale Walsh doing contracting in the past.	10:28:39
24	Sorry, I hear an echo of somebody.	10:28:45
25	//	10:28:45

1	BY MR. RUIZ:	10:28:49
2	Q Let me back up. So other than Mike Yakovich	10:28:49
3	and Dale Walsh, have you used other contractors to apply	10:28:52
4	SPF 3000?	10:28:57
5	A Uh, that's been our I don't remember. I	10:29:01
6	mean Dale brings a couple of guys with him, but	10:29:15
7	generally that's it. You know, we've had yeah, we've	10:29:19
8	had a couple painting contractors along the way.	10:29:21
9	Q Do you recall who those those people were?	10:29:28
10	These painting contractors?	10:29:29
11	A No, I I don't remember. Sometimes it's	10:29:33
12	yeah, that's it. Sometimes it's the own customers, you	10:29:44
13	know, people that they introduce me to a contractor.	10:29:48
14	Q So so you're saying a circumstance where a	10:29:56
15	a customer would have you use their contractor to	10:30:00
16	apply SPF 3000 to to their home?	10:30:03
17	A Yes.	10:30:09
18	Q And approximately how many times did that	10:30:11
19	happen?	10:30:13
20	A Approximately three or four times.	10:30:17
21	Q And how did you how did you find Dale	10:30:31
22	Walsh?	10:30:33
23	A Gosh, that's been a long time ago, too. I	10:30:38
24	believe it was Will Spyrison, a fire chief, retired fire	10:30:45
25	chief.	10:30:55

ľ
L
l
۰
١
٦
1
7
٠

	i		
1	Q	And what about Mr. Yakovich?	10:30:57
2	A	How did I meet him?	10:31:04
3	Q	Yes.	10:31:06
4	A	Through a referral.	10:31:06
5	Q	Who who referred you?	10:31:09
6	A	Someone named Pat.	10:31:12
7	Q	Do you know Pat's last name?	10:31:16
8	A	I don't remember.	10:31:19
9	Q	Was Pat a consumer? Or how how how did	10:31:25
10	you meet	Pat?	10:31:29
11	A	He was a friend of my sons.	10:31:32
12	Q	All right. Going back to the to the storage	10:31:46
13	facility	, um and and and you mentioned that,	10:31:51
14	you know	, you stopped using it pre pandemic	10:31:54
15	approxim	ately how long did you use the storage	10:31:57
16	facility	?	10:32:00
17	A	It seems for about year? I don't recall the	10:32:01
18	exact am	ount of time, but within a year, probably less	10:32:10
19	than a y	ear. Something like that.	10:32:15
20	Q	And other than SPF 3000, did you store anything	10:32:20
21	else in	there?	10:32:22
22	A	Yes.	10:32:26
23	Q	What else was stored there?	10:32:29
24	A	A workbench and pieces of material that I might	10:32:35
25	be testi	ng for myself, you know, internal testing.	10:32:47

1	dripped down the side of the can and then got onto the	10:34:23
2	concrete or something like that.	10:34:26
3	Q And then earlier you had mentioned that sort of	10:34:31
4	the the liquid, because of you know, has acetone	10:34:34
5	and and and other solvents in there, if it sort of	10:34:41
6	sits for a while it will, you know, cor potentially	10:34:44
7	corrode the container it's in. Do you know how long	10:34:48
8	that would take.	10:34:51
9	A Over a year probably. I mean yeah, that's	10:34:54
10	probably a fair statement, over a year.	10:35:06
11	Q Going back to to to Mr. Sissons, are	10:35:21
12	are are writing letters part of his sort of	10:35:30
13	consulting relationship?	10:35:35
14	A Not necessarily; but, he's done it from time to	10:35:39
15	time.	10:35:46
16	Q Do you know do you pay him for those	10:35:46
17	letters?	10:35:48
18	A No.	10:35:49
19	Q Do you ask him to write these letters?	10:35:53
20	A Just on his findings from time to time. I	10:35:57
21	don't say, "Hey, write me a letter." Updates.	10:36:00
22	MR. RUIZ: So if we talk about	10:36:16
23	Could we pull up Exhibit 92?	10:36:18
24	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 92 was referenced for	10:36:20
25	identification by Mr. Ruiz.)	10:36:20

		1
1	BY MR. RUIZ:	10:36:34
2	Q And this is a letter Bates-stamped Sunfire Doc	10:36:34
3	#400-616 to Sunfire Doc #400-617. Have you seen this	10:36:39
4	letter before?	10:36:47
5	A Yes.	10:36:48
6	Q Did you ask Mr. Sissons to write this letter?	10:36:52
7	A It was already written. I just asked for	10:36:56
8	updates just to see how the product (audio glitch).	10:36:59
9	Q So what was the update in this letter?	10:37:09
10	A Well, it had just been a while. You know, he	10:37:13
11	tested it from time to time.	10:37:16
12	Q I I understand that. But you you can	10:37:29
13	read the letter, um, as much as you want. I just I'm	10:37:30
14	looking for sort of the new information December of 2021	10:37:34
15	that that necessitated an update?	10:37:38
16	A You want me to read this whole	10:37:43
17	MR. CHAPMAN: Objection	10:37:44
18	THE WITNESS: so you want me to read the whole	10:37:44
19	thing?	10:37:46
20	MR. CHAPMAN: Yes.	10:37:46
21	MR. RUIZ: You can read it.	10:37:47
22	MS. CHAPMAN: Yeah. And I'm just gonna object. You	10:37:47
23	can answer the question, but my objection is	10:37:50
24	speculation, foundation, vague and ambiguous.	10:37:52
25	THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay. I I'm I'm reading	10:38:03

		l
1	it.	10:38:07
2	MR. RUIZ: And I would just like to to say what	10:38:07
3	what was updated in in this letter as as of	10:38:09
4	December 28th, 2021 which it was dated that that	10:38:14
5	necessitated the update?	10:38:18
6	MR. CHAPMAN: Same objections.	10:38:20
7	THE WITNESS: Just (indiscernible).	10:38:23
8	MR. RUIZ: I'm sorry, Mr. Moseley. Can you repeat	10:38:23
9	your your answer?	10:38:25
10	THE WITNESS: Longevity.	10:38:27
11	BY MR. RUIZ:	10:38:30
12	Q What what do you mean by "longevity?"	10:38:30
13	A How long it lasts. Is it still lasting? Is it	10:38:35
14	still working?	10:38:38
15	Q And and I will give you you you can	10:38:46
16	you can take your time to read it. I just want to know	10:38:50
17	what in here tells you that it's long-lasting?	10:38:53
18	A I don't know. I didn't I don't think I've	10:39:05
19	read it in its entirety since he sent it. I just asked	10:39:07
20	him if it's working. That's it. Maybe if you scroll	10:39:11
21	down, there's something else I'm not seeing. But	10:39:18
22	(Short pause)	10:39:34
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, okay. Well, I guess it would	10:39:36
24	say over the last six years would be the answer.	10:39:37
25	//	10:39:37

1	BY MR. RUIZ:	10:39:50
2	Q Do you know the last time, um, Mr. Sissons	10:39:50
3	tested, um, a pole treated with SPF 3000?	10:39:57
4	A No. It's ongoing.	10:40:04
5	Q Do you know what kind of test Mr. Sissons	10:40:08
6	performs?	10:40:10
7	A Uh, presume it you know, putting weed	10:40:14
8	burners, which are, you know, really intense heat on it	10:40:19
9	to make sure to see if it's you know, if it's	10:40:22
10	self-extinguishing still. That's really the key, is how	10:40:27
11	it's self-extinguishing over time.	10:40:31
12	Q But do you know my my when Mr. Sissons	10:40:41
13	performs one of these tests, um, how does he let you	10:40:45
14	know that he's performed it?	10:40:50
15	A He doesn't necessarily announce it: "Oh, I	10:40:52
16	checked it out again" or this or that and you know,	10:40:55
17	because he's doing a he does other types of tests for	10:40:58
18	us as well. It's not all about SPF 3000. And so not	10:41:00
19	all about fire actually.	10:41:04
20	Q Right, but there's no I I what I mean,	10:41:08
21	are there any videos or photographs when he conducts	10:41:12
22	these tests?	10:41:15
23	A I don't have any, no.	10:41:16
24	Q And and you're not with him when he conducts	10:41:18
25	these tests.	10:41:20

1	A No, he conducts 'em up up north.	10:41:21
2	Q Right, but he doesn't take you with with	10:41:25
3	with him when he conducts these tests.	10:41:27
4	A No.	10:41:30
5	Q So the only only evidence you have that he's	10:41:31
6	performed this test is Mr. Sissons saying, "Hey, I did	10:41:37
7	this test." Is that fair?	10:41:40
8	A That's fair.	10:41:43
9	Q Have you ever witnessed Mr. Sissons perform an	10:41:53
10	SPF uh, a test of SPF 3000?	10:41:56
11	A Yes.	10:41:59
12	Q When when was that?	10:42:00
13	A Last one was probably, I don't know, three or	10:42:07
14	four years ago. I I witnessed one up at Bonneville.	10:42:15
15	Then before that, there was a couple of other tests they	10:42:22
16	did up there.	10:42:25
17	Q So when you say "three to four years ago at	10:42:30
18	Bonneville," um, that would be 2017, 2018? Is that	10:42:34
19	or or 2018, 2019?	10:42:40
20	A Let's see. I don't recall the the exact	10:42:46
21	year; but, I think the last time was when we were	10:42:52
22	co-sponsoring a golf tournament with utility companies,	10:42:58
23	probably 2018-19 before Covid.	10:43:03
24	Q So so the golf tournament was the last time	10:43:10
25	you witnessed Mr. Sissons test SPF 3000 in person.	10:43:13

		I
1	A Yes.	10:43:17
2	Q And and what did that testing consist of?	10:43:24
3	A Uh, it's same old thing: putting a weed burner	10:43:29
4	or a torch on a particular piece and of wood.	10:43:33
5	Q And so can can you give me a little	10:43:46
6	more detail about that? I mean is he is he holding	10:43:50
. 7	the piece of wood in his hand? How how how big a	10:43:52
8	piece of wood are we are we talking about?	10:43:55
9	A Yeah, holding it in his hand. It could have	10:43:57
10	been a vice. I'm trying to remember. I believe it was	10:44:01
11	in a vice.	10:44:05
12	Q Do you recall what type of wood?	10:44:09
13	A No. Probably Douglas fir, something like that	10:44:12
14	that they make utility poles out of.	10:44:17
15	Q Do you recall the dimensions of the wood?	10:44:21
16	A. Um, I don't know, couple of feet long or	10:44:28
17	something?	10:44:31
18	Q And would he test only a piece of wood treated	10:44:37
19	with SPF 3000, or would he also sort of demonstrate, you	10:44:42
20	know, a piece of at at this (indiscernible)	10:44:46
21	would he demonstrate how fire would react with a	10:44:49
22	(audio glitch)?	10:44:52
23	A Right, you kind of broke up there, Miguel.	10:44:53
24	Q I'll I'll repeat. For this testing	10:44:55
25	was he only testing wood treated with SPF 3000?	10:44:57

1	A I believe it was with and without. I don't	10:45:05
2	believe it was testing a competitor or anything like	10:45:09
3	that next to it.	10:45:12
4	Q I mean not that's what I was asking. Like	10:45:18
5	was there a controlled testing as well during this	10:45:20
6	demonstration at the golf tournament?	10:45:23
7	A Well, not necessarily controlled. It you	10:45:26
8	know, these were just guys that do this kind of thing a	10:45:31
9	lot, you know, when they're testing poles every day and	10:45:35
10	and put a torch on it and it still did well.	10:45:39
11	Q Now, you mentioned Douglas fir. Was it as	10:45:45
12	thick as a normal power pole, or	10:45:49
13	A No.	10:45:51
14	Q Do you recall how thick it was?	10:45:53
15	A Probably a couple inches.	10:45:55
16	Q Did the wood uh, back up. Do you recall if	10:46:06
17	the wood was cured prior to testing it?	10:46:09
18	A No, I I didn't ask him; but, if he was	10:46:15
19	testing it, I'm sure it had you know, it was dry for	10:46:18
20	sure, you know? The only time it ever burns is if it's	10:46:21
21	wet, you know? It's never burned, period, when it's	10:46:26
22	dry.	10:46:32
23	Q Did you provide him the treated wood, or did	10:46:33
24	Mr. Sissons do it himself?	10:46:36
25	A No. No.	10:46:38

1	Q So I was then then who actually applied	10:46:43
2	SPF 3000 to the to the wood that was used in this	10:46:46
3	A I don't know. I didn't see it. I didn't see	10:46:48
4	him treat it.	10:46:50
5	(Short pause)	10:47:03
6	MR. RUIZ: I think I've just about used my time for	10:47:10
7	your personal deposition. So I will, um, cede my time	10:47:14
8	to to Mr. Chapman before we we move on to the	10:47:19
9	to the to the next	10:47:23
10	MR. CHAPMAN: Yeah, I don't have any questions.	10:47:24
11	MR. RUIZ: Okay. Okay. Then I'm happy to just, you	10:47:26
12	know, handle the deposition per per code.	10:47:32
13	But if you have a stipulation, Mr. Chapman, I	10:47:34
14	would also entertain that; but, otherwise I'm happy to	10:47:36
15	go forward with with per code.	10:47:40
16	THE WITNESS: Can I ask a question?	10:47:41
17	MR. RUIZ: Yes.	10:47:42
18	THE WITNESS: What's the difference between me	10:47:43
19	personally and me the corporation? I mean I'm kind of	10:47:45
20	like the only guy around here. I'm just curious why	10:47:48
21	why it's why you're doing it twice. I mean whatever.	10:47:51
22	I'm just yeah.	10:47:55
23	MR. RUIZ: So, yes. I mean you're you're	10:47:58
24	you're you're you're both named Defendants, and so	10:48:02
25	both have the opportunity to sort of you know, to	10:48:03

1	take take take information about about	10:48:05
2	about your testimony. We'll get into this the	10:48:07
3	start of your deposition of course. But, um, believe	10:48:09
4	as you as you as you said, since you're the	10:48:14
5	you're the person there, that the answers you gave here	10:48:14
6	would be the same as the as if you were speaking on	10:48:16
7	behalf of the company, so I don't have to ask those	10:48:18
8	questions again. Is that	10:48:20
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.	10:48:22
10	MR. RUIZ: is that is that understood? Is	10:48:23
11	that okay with you?	10:48:23
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I just didn't know.	10:48:25
13	MR. RUIZ: Okay. You know, especially, you know,	10:48:26
14	with a company, right? You can designate multiple	10:48:28
15	people, right? You know, if it's a bigger company, as	10:48:30
16	you might imagine, there might be, you know, people with	10:48:33
17	different sets of knowledge, right? One person	10:48:35
18	THE WITNESS: Sure.	10:48:38
19	MR. RUIZ: might be an accountant and one person	10:48:38
20	might be, you know, sales, right? And so	10:48:39
21	THE WITNESS: Correct.	10:48:41
22	MR. RUIZ: this type of deposition would allow	10:48:41
23	different people to to speak about different	10:48:43
24	topics.	10:48:44
25	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	10:48:45

1	MR. RUIZ: So so that's why you do these things	10:48:46
2	separately. But as you said, since you are the person,	10:48:48
3	um, I think you know, and and we'll get into it on	10:48:51
4	that question, too; but, we assume that when you testify	10:48:54
5	for yourself, you would you your answers wouldn't	10:48:56
6	change if you were testifying about Sunseeker. And vice	10:48:59
7	versa, if you were testifying for Sunseeker, um, we	10:49:02
8	we'd presume you you're testifying the same	10:49:06
9	for as if you were testifying in your personal	10:49:08
10	capacity. Is is is that fair? Is that	10:49:10
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, okay. I I	10:49:12
12	MR. CHAPMAN: Well well, uh well, Miguel,	10:49:13
13	we'll stipulate he only has one brain.	10:49:14
14	MR. RUIZ: Yeah.	10:49:18
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, exactly.	10:49:19
16	MR. RUIZ: Uh, good. Like I say, yeah	10:49:22
17	(indiscernible) but yeah, just just just to	10:49:23
18	clear up and again, hopefully that will make the, uh	10:49:23
19	the second part of this go go go faster	10:49:25
20	obviously, since we don't have to repeat the same, uh	10:49:27
21	THE WITNESS: Awesome.	10:49:30
22	MR. RUIZ: the same questions.	10:49:30
23	THE WITNESS: Okay.	10:49:31
24	MR. RUIZ: But yes, Mr. Chapman, as as I said,	10:49:31
25	I'm I'm happy to stipu to go per code with the	10:49:33

1	handling of the deposition.	10:49:35
2	MR. CHAPMAN: Oh	10:49:37
3	MR. RUIZ: You know, unless you want to alternate a	10:49:37
4	offer a a different stipulation.	10:49:39
5	MR. CHAPMAN: Yeah, I um, you know, I I don't	10:49:41
6	I know it's changed in um, whatever's I I'd	10:49:42
7	like to make it convenient. I don't know if the code	10:49:46
8	some states require the witness to go down to the court	10:49:49
9	reporter's office and sign read in their lobby and	10:49:53
10	sign and all that. I I'd like to avoid that. So, um	10:49:56
11	oh, the old way we used to do it was that the	10:50:02
12	original transcript be provided to the witness and they	10:50:05
13	have a couple weeks or a month, depending on trial date,	10:50:09
14	to review and make changes under penalty of perjury, and	10:50:12
15	that I would notify everybody of any changes. That	10:50:15
16	would be my preference if you're willing to do that.	10:50:19
17	MR. RUIZ: Um, that's fine. So will you be in	10:50:22
18	charge of if we get delivered the original, do you	10:50:26
19	want to handle the original then? Or would you have the	10:50:30
20	court reporter	10:50:32
21	MR. CHAPMAN: Yeah yeah, I'll I'll be happy to	10:50:32
22	handle it and me take custody and produce it at any	10:50:33
23	hearing or trial on notice.	10:50:35
24	MR. RUIZ: Okay. Then I'll just I'll just state	10:50:36
25	that all for the record so we're clear, that the way	10:50:39
		1

## PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CA vs SUNSEEKER ENTERPRISES INC

1	we'll handle it is that the court reporter will be	10:50:42
2	relieved of his duties to handle the original transcript	10:50:44
3	and say will deliver it to to Mr. Chapman who will	10:50:48
4	deliver it to the witness. The witness will have 30	10:50:51
5	days from Mr. Chapman's receipt of the document to make	10:50:53
6	any changes and Mr. Chapman will be obligated to to	10:50:57
7	notify all parties if there are any changes to the	10:51:02
8	deposition transcript after his after Mr. Moseley's	10:51:05
9	review.	10:51:08
10	So so	10:51:10
11	MR. CHAPMAN: Yeah, I agree. So stipulated.	10:51:10
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you, everyone. This is	10:51:14
13	going to end the videotaped deposition of James Moseley.	10:51:15
14	We are going off the record at 10:51 a.m.	10:51:20
15		10:51:23
16	(Whereupon it was stipulated by and between	10:51:23
17	Counsel that the provisions of 2025.520 may be waived.)	10:51:23
18		
19	(Deposition concluded at 10:51 a.m.)	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	
3	COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
4	
5	I am the witness in the foregoing deposition.
6	I have read the deposition. Having made changes and
7	corrections as I desire, I certify that the same is true
8	of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are
9	therein stated upon my information or belief, and as to
10	those matters, I believe it to be true.
11	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of
12	the State of California that the foregoing is true and
13	correct.
14	
15	
16	Executed on
17	at, (Address)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	JAMES MOSELEY
24	
25	

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand, which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.

Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a federal case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [] was not requested.

I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name.

Dated: JANUARY 24, 2022

Edward V. Serrano, CSR No. 7469 Certified Shorthard Reporter For The State Of California

1	Errata Sheet
2	
3	NAME OF CASE: PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CA vs SUNSEEKER ENTERPRISES INC
4	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 01/14/2022
5	NAME OF WITNESS: JAMES MOSELEY
6	Reason Codes:
7	1. To clarify the record.
8	2. To conform to the facts.
9	3. To correct transcription errors.
10	Page Line Reason
11	From to
12	Page Line Reason
13	From to
14	Page Line Reason
15	From to
16	Page Line Reason
17	From to
18	Page Line Reason
19	From to
20	Page Line Reason
21	From to
22	Page Line Reason
23	From to
24	
25	

Exhibits	284:5	290:4,16 291:20 292:1,7 296:3,18	additive 269:7
EXIIIDIUS	<b>16</b> 284:5	297:10,25 298:19,	adhere 265:15
35251CAT(A)	19CV04083	25 300:2	adhesion 267:1,5
EXH 2 249:12	250:10		advertising
263:8,9,10	<b>1st</b> 264:1	5	288:23
35251CAT(A)			agree 264:11
<b>EXH 12</b> 249:14	2	<b>5</b> 286:7	304:11
273:25 274:1		<b>5-gallon</b> 255:24	agreed 275:11
35251CAT(A)	<b>2</b> 263:9,10,21	261:24 292:11	agreement 279:5
<b>EXH 89</b> 249:16	<b>2-by-6s</b> 267:24	<b>50</b> 268:8,10,23	280:17 281:1,10,
260:7,8	<b>20</b> 255:6 271:22	269:4,23 271:24	13
35251CAT(A)	288:7,10	272:2	ahead 281:4
<b>EXH 92</b> 249:19 293:23,24	<b>2016</b> 284:2	8	air 274:3
	<b>2017</b> 297:18		alcohol 253:21
#	<b>2018</b> 297:18,19	<b>89</b> 260:7,8	allowed 252:20,
	<b>2018-19</b> 297:23		21 266:7
<b>#400-392</b> 260:11	<b>2019</b> 297:19	9	alternate 303:3
<b>#400-393</b> 260:11		<b>92</b> 293:23,24	aluminum 258:8
<b>#400-616</b> 294:3	<b>2020</b> 253:25	·	
<b>#400-617</b> 294:3	<b>2021</b> 294:14 295:4	<b>9:07</b> 250:2	Amazingly 279:15
	<b>2022</b> 250:1,11	<b>9:08</b> 250:12	
\$	<b>2025.520</b> 304:17		<b>ambiguous</b> 277:21 294:24
	28th 295:4	A	amount 256:21
<b>\$350</b> 281:24	200.4	050.040	268:22 279:6
<b>\$375</b> 281:24	3	<b>a.m.</b> 250:2,12 289:16,19 304:14,	291:18
<b>40.0</b>		19	Andrew 274:11,
1	<b>3</b> 260:4 263:22		12,13,16
		ability 265:18	Andy 276:4
<b>10</b> 254:25 271:18	<b>30</b> 268:23 270:5,9 271:25 272:1,2,8,	Absolutely 252:3	277:10
282:3,4,18,19	14 304:4	268:14	
285:15,16 289:8,		accountant	Angeles 250:1,17
14	<b>3000</b> 255:17,19	301:19	Annmarie 260:25
<b>10:13</b> 289:16	256:1,13,19 257:7,17 258:4,20	accurate 264:17	262:18
<b>10:28</b> 289:19	259:6,11,19,24	266:16	announce 296:15
	262:4,10 263:19	acetone 258:1	answers 301:5
<b>10:51</b> 304:14,19	264:20 265:16,21	262:14 293:4	302:5
<b>10K</b> 285:17	267:17 268:4,10, 18,19 269:2,21,25	acknowledging	antagonized
<b>12</b> 273:25 274:1	270:8,20 271:11,	277:16	272:22
<b>14</b> 250:1	14 272:10 276:13,	activities 288:12	appeared 273:23
	18 277:4,8,11		• •
<b>14th</b> 250:11	278:4,7,23 279:8 280:1,8 282:20,23	actual 286:14	appearing 250:14
<b>15</b> 282:18,19	280:1,8 282:20,23 283:3 287:4,11,24	add 285:4	appears 261:12

			**
application	255:16 258:19	breaker 266:14	<b>chain</b> 250:14
264:16,20 266:14 270:22 287:4	268:25 286:17 289:14,18 290:2	<b>briefly</b> 251:17	260:10,12 263:13
288:2	291:12 293:11	<b>brings</b> 290:6	<b>change</b> 266:17,21 302:6
applied 258:4	299:16	<b>broad</b> 276:25	changed 262:6
264:10 268:4,11	background 279:12	broader 276:25	303:6
269:2 271:14 282:20 300:1		277:6	changing 261:23
applies 280:21	ballparking 285:6	broke 298:23	Chapman 250:25
apply 264:20,21	banged 262:15	bucks 288:7	254:4,17,20,24
267:17 270:12,16	Barbara 250:10,	<b>burned</b> 299:21	255:7 257:9,18 260:15,22 273:5
271:4 290:3,16	23	burner 298:3	274:15 277:20,23
applying 268:19 270:10,20 272:10	base 258:16,21	burners 296:8	279:12,17,21 288:25 289:10
approved 270:3	259:1,5,25	burns 299:20	294:17,20,22
271:13	basically 276:19	butt 265:14	295:6 300:8,10,13 302:12,24 303:2,
approximately	Bates-stamped 260:10 263:13		5,21 304:3,6,11
254:23 271:16	274:7 294:2	C	Chapman's
284:2 290:18,20 291:15	begins 250:5	<b>Cal</b> 270:4	304:5
area 276:6 277:17	behalf 250:18,20,	calculating	<b>charge</b> 271:7 303:18
areas 264:16,22	22,25 280:1 301:7	268:21	charged 288:1,8
265:4 288:15	<b>big</b> 268:22 286:13	California 250:1,	_
aspect 287:19	298:7	7,9,19,21,23	<b>charging</b> 288:7, 10
aspects 288:19	<b>bigger</b> 301:15	call 256:22	<b>checked</b> 296:16
associate 277:19	Bill 250:25 254:17	called 251:7	chemicals
278:11	bit 261:15 266:8	268:6 269:2	258:10
<b>assume</b> 253:7 260:16 302:4	<b>blur</b> 284:3	calls 277:20	chief 290:24,25
Attorney's	<b>board</b> 267:23	cans 258:9	chipping 267:9
250:18,24	<b>boat</b> 269:16	Canyon 283:9	Christina 250:20
attorneys 254:12, 13,16 255:13	<b>boatyard</b> 256:5,7, 13,18	<b>capacity</b> 283:23 302:10	Christopher 250:14
audio 294:8	<b>Bobby</b> 272:22	care 257:1	circumstance
298:22	Bonneville 261:2	case 250:8,10	290:14
authorized 277:7,	284:1,9,14 286:9 297:14,18	276:12,17 277:3 278:11	City 250:18
10 280:1,7	bottom 263:21	catch 265:25	<b>clar</b> 254:11
avoid 303:10	292:9	categorize 278:9	class 275:16,17
Awesome 302:21	<b>brain</b> 302:13	caused 256:18	clean 266:5
В	break 253:10,11,	cede 300:7	clear 261:7
	14 262:24 288:25		302:18 303:25
	289:1,4,17	Certified 251:8	cleared 292:18

<b>closing</b> 271:23	complained	contents 254:11	<b>County</b> 250:9
273:1 co-sponsoring	267:14 272:18 complaining	continuation 254:1	<b>couple</b> 256:8 274:19 290:6,8
297:22	273:11	contract 281:14	297:15 298:16 299:15 303:13
Coat 258:18	complaint 267:7,	287:12	court 250:9,14
coating 281:20 coatings 258:13,	complete 253:19	contracting 282:15 289:22,23	252:4,6,8 303:8, 20 304:1
24 259:4 260:2 263:6 267:1	component 259:11,12	contractor 271:4 280:14,21 282:7	<b>cousin</b> 275:23 276:3
<b>code</b> 300:12,15 302:25 303:7	components 259:19	290:13,15 contractors	Covid 297:23
color 265:2	concluded	255:23 259:15,17, 20 270:24 281:25	crazy 288:5 creating 257:4
266:22 combination	304:19 conclusion	283:14 290:3,8,10	273:2
258:20,25 259:4,	277:21	control 287:25	<b>cure</b> 266:7
17	concrete 256:25	<b>controlled</b> 273:17 299:5,7	<b>cured</b> 299:17
combine 259:18	293:2	convenient 303:7	<b>curious</b> 300:20
combined 259:13 combining 259:5	conducts 296:21, 24 297:1,3	conversation	custody 303:22
commission	conflict 286:16	254:19	customer 267:7 290:15
278:25 279:1,2,3,	consist 298:2	copper 264:24	customers
6 280:19 285:24 286:3,8,15 287:7	construction 270:1 271:12,14	cor 293:6 corny 275:19	290:12
commissions	consultant	corporate 276:7,	cute 279:13
286:19	283:22 284:17	11,15 277:1,7	cutting 287:20
<b>common</b> 262:9 271:2	288:12,13	279:25 280:7	D
communications	consulting 284:18,22 286:13,	corporation 300:19	delle 004 44 45
254:7	19 293:13	correct 258:17 262:20 266:22	<b>daily</b> 281:14,15, 18,22
companies 261:17 286:25	<b>consumer</b> 267:13 273:11 284:19	275:14 276:2	Dale 257:2 265:23
287:25 297:22	287:11,12,17 288:1 291:9	301:21	283:16 289:23 290:3,6,21
company 280:13 282:11 287:13	container 259:25	correspondence 266:18	Dale's 266:2
301:7,14,15	260:1,2 292:9	<b>corrode</b> 264:13	damage 256:17,
company's 282:13	293:7 containers	266:19,21 293:7	22 257:3
compared 268:5	261:24 262:2,6,8,	corroding 265:3	damaged 262:11 damaging 257:5
compensation	10 263:3 292:1	corrosive 257:7, 17,22 258:5	<b>5 5</b>
278:22 281:12	contend 270:19	266:14,18	date 250:10 303:13
285:11 286:20	<b>content</b> 273:17, 20,23	<b>counsel</b> 250:15 252:19 304:17	dated 295:4
competitor 299:2		202.13 004.17	

Dave 260:25	detail 298:6	<b>duties</b> 304:2	estimate 268:3,16 269:1 272:7,8
261:1,12,20 284:14	determined 275:18	E	282:16
day 281:24 299:9	difference 300:18		et al 250:8
days 304:5	difficult 253:18	<b>e-mail</b> 260:10,12 261:8,12,19	event 272:23
<b>deal</b> 258:9 265:10 266:14	259:22 dimensions	263:13,25 264:2,8 274:6,8,18	evidence 297:5 exact 291:18
December 294:14 295:4	298:15 directly 255:23	e-mails 255:7,9 E84 270:3,4	297:20 <b>EXAMINATION</b>
deck 269:17 decking 267:23	259:14 264:10,21 287:12	earlier 255:18 268:2 278:5 293:3	251:12 examined 251:8
269:16	dirt 271:6	easiest 287:16,22	Exhibit 260:7,8
Defendant 251:1 276:12,16 277:3	dis 283:16 disability 283:17	easily 262:24 268:23	263:8,10 273:25 274:1 293:23,24
Defendants 300:24	discolora 267:2	eaves 267:22	expertise 284:21 extended 270:4
definition 278:12	discoloration 267:2,5	echo 289:24 292:15	extent 267:11
<b>deliver</b> 259:20 304:3,4	discussed 255:18 278:22	Ed 251:3	exterior 267:17 268:19 270:11,13,
delivered 303:18	District 250:23	<b>Elkins</b> 274:11,12, 13,16	21,22 272:11
delivers 260:3	<b>DJ</b> 263:15,25	<b>em</b> 297:1	exteriors 268:5,
demand 285:8 demonstrate	266:18 274:10,23 <b>Doc</b> 260:11 294:2,	<b>employee</b> 280:15 281:8	extraneous 275:4 279:10
298:19,21 demonstration	3 doctor 278:14	end 287:14 304:13	F
299:6 <b>dep</b> 254:1	document 260:17 304:5	ended 283:11 Engineered	facility 255:25
depending 303:13	documents 255:1,10	258:13,18,24 259:4 260:2 263:5	256:2,4,25 291:13,16 292:7
<b>depo</b> 289:7	dollars 285:12	Enterprises 250:8 276:1,12,16	fair 253:7,8 258:22,23 264:19
deposition 250:6,	doubt 273:2	277:2	267:2 272:3,14,16
12 251:15,19 253:24 254:2,14, 21 255:2,11,12,14	<b>Douglas</b> 298:13 299:1.1	entertain 300:14 entirety 295:19	277:18 281:17 284:23 285:13 288:2 292:3,5
286:23 289:5 300:7,12 301:3,22	dries 265:13	entities 276:11, 14,16 279:25	293:10 297:7,8 302:10
303:1 304:8,13,19	dripped 293:1 drugs 253:18	280:7,9	fairly 256:24,25
describe 257:7	dry 257:19 265:12	entity 276:7 277:1,7	fairness 288:6
describes 281:2, 11 designate 301:14	299:19,22 duly 251:7	essentially 259:11 268:3 277:14	familiar 260:12 261:4 263:15 273:14 274:8 275:20,22 283:19

familiarity 287:1	friend 291:11	guessing 277:23	holes 257:4
fascia 267:23	fuels 258:1	gutters 264:24	home 287:11,17
faster 302:19	full-time 278:14,	guy 272:22 285:9	290:16
favor 274:19	16	300:20	homes 272:10 278:18 287:1,5
feet 298:16	G	<b>guys</b> 274:19 275:9 290:6 299:8	honest 285:9
final 273:22			hour 253:11 289:1
find 278:6 290:21	<b>gain</b> 288:18	Н	hours 253:22
findings 293:20	gaining 288:14	half 256:9	house 271:3
fine 253:12,16	gallon 292:12	Hamill 272:21	houses 283:2
289:10,11 303:17	garbled 252:2	273:10	How's 285:15
finish 252:16 289:4	gave 255:3 301:5	Hamill's 272:21	hundred 271:20
289:4 fir 298:13 299:11	general 280:14,21	273:7	285:2,3
	281:1 282:15	hand 275:15	hundred-
fire 270:4 290:24 296:19 298:21	generally 290:7	298:7,9	thousand 285:12
Firedefense	generate 278:23	handle 300:12 303:19,22 304:1,2	hurt 264:23
273:15	<b>give</b> 252:9 253:19 264:25 268:3	handling 303:1	
Firedefense.com	272:11 279:2,3	happen 262:13	
273:18	285:2 286:2 295:15 298:5	290:19	identification
fires 276:5	glitch 294:8	happy 300:11,14	260:9 263:11 274:2 293:25
fit 266:15	298:22	302:25 303:21	identify 250:15
fix 267:10 273:3	God 272:20 285:8	hardened 257:10	imagine 301:16
fixed 257:2	golf 297:22,24	Harris 264:1	impact 265:17
Flame 258:20 259:6 269:5,9	299:6	<b>head</b> 252:11	•
flammable 260:4	good 250:5	hear 251:23 252:2 289:24 292:14	important 252:29
flew 256:10	252:15 263:24 270:5,14,16 284:8		
	285:9 302:16	hearing 279:12 292:15 303:23	includes 276:18
follow 289:20	Gosh 290:23	heat 296:8	Inconel 258:21 259:5
formula 262:18	gotta 266:5	Hey 273:3 275:17	independent
forward 300:15	270:13	293:21 297:6	277:19 278:11
found 255:3,6	greenish 265:1,2	Hidden 283:7,12,	indiscernible
foundation 294:24	grit 269:14	13	262:18 264:24 295:7 298:20
frame 268:12	ground 251:16	<b>high</b> 258:15,21,25 259:5,25	302:17
269:24	grown 279:14	Hills 283:7,11,12,	individual 277:7
framing 267:25	guess 256:9 263:7 272:6,15	13	individuals
268:5,12 269:3	274:15 277:12,24	holding 261:25	279:25 280:7
Friday 250:1	285:16 295:23	298:6,9	industry 285:25

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CA VS SUNSEEKER ENTERPRISES INC	MOSELEY, J i7
Inde	ex: matterperforms

information	January 250:1,11	282:24	looked 275:11
294:14 301:1	284:2	lasting 295:13	loose 271:5
inquired 284:13,	<b>Jim</b> 251:1	lasts 295:13	Los 250:1,17
insert 252:20	jim@ sunfiredefense.	<b>Laurel</b> 283:9	<b>lot</b> 269:5 273:19 276:5 285:7,8
instance 288:15	<b>com</b> 261:8	law 252:6	288:19 299:9
instruct 252:22	<b>job</b> 252:15 255:21, 23 259:15 267:10	lawyers 254:8	<b>Lucas</b> 250:22 279:20
274:23 275:8	268:6 270:8	laying 258:2	
insufficient 252:12	281:16 282:1	leading 263:22	lumber 287:20
insurance 280:22	<b>jobs</b> 268:4,11,12, 18 269:1,3,23,24	leakage 262:9 leaking 261:25	М
intended 270:6	join 282:9,10	292:9	
276:25	joined 280:5	leave 257:24	made 255:20 277:14 278:19
intense 296:8	jumped 280:11	283:17	make 253:2,18
intention 286:1 287:6		leaving 257:25	256:16 261:7
interest 286:16	K	left 257:23 258:2	269:7 270:13,14 271:6 278:8 296:
	<b>Ken</b> 283:19	legal 277:20	298:14 302:18
interested 278:18	288:11	letter 293:21	303:7,14 304:5
internal 291:25	Kennedy 250:14	294:2,4,6,9,13 295:3	making 276:22
internet 252:1	key 296:10		<b>Malibu</b> 283:7
interrupt 266:25	kids 279:14	letters 293:12,17, 19	manufacturer
introduce 290:13		licensed 282:7	258:9,12
introduced 284:12,13	kind 253:18 256:22 275:19	liquid 257:10,21	<b>March</b> 253:25 264:1
investigation	282:10 284:3 296:5 298:23	260:5 293:4 lobby 303:9	<b>marina</b> 256:5
280:11	299:8 300:19	•	mark 272:21
involve 268:4,18	kinds 287:8	located 283:4	273:7 285:16
involved 276:15	knee 283:17	long 254:23 257:23,24 258:3	market 277:3 280:1 285:24
involvement 276:10 278:4	knew 270:24 278:17	262:7 269:6,10 284:10 290:23	286:20 287:3 288:7
involving 277:4	knowledge	291:15 293:7 295:13 298:16	markets 284:20
issue 265:4 266:4	288:15,18 301:17	long-lasting	markings 260:4
267:1,4 270:17	knowledgeable 263:19	295:17	Marylou 272:21
issues 262:13 268:12		longer 269:8	mask 264:15,22
200.12	L	289:6	265:6,7 271:10
J	<b>L.A.</b> 283:5	longevity 269:22 295:10,12	Master 258:20 259:6 269:4,9
James 250:6	lab 270:4	longevity-wise	material 291:24
251:6 304:13	laminates 281:20	269:6	

matter 250:6	289:8 295:8	objections	19 270:10,21
Mcewen 254:7	304:13	252:20 295:6	272:11
means 266:19	Moseley's 289:5	obligated 304:6	painting 270:13
meant 254:5	304:8	occur 258:10	271:3 290:8,10
265:15 277:1	move 273:6 289:6	occurred 292:21	pandemic 291:14
280:25	300:8	occurrence	part 251:14
medication	moved 273:19	262:10	264:12 271:8,10 289:5 293:12
253:17	multiple 267:15 301:14	odd 292:15	302:19
meet 283:24 284:7 291:2,10	MYGC 282:14	offer 273:19,20	particles 270:15
mentioned 253:9	mystery 279:21	276:17 303:4	parties 304:7
266:3 285:23	mystery 275.21	offered 267:10	parts 259:12,14
289:22 291:13	N	offers 281:3	past 289:23
292:6 293:3 299:11		office 250:18,24	Pat 291:6,9,10
met 284:4,9	named 291:6 300:24	279:17 303:9	Pat's 291:7
metal 258:7		ongoing 296:4	pause 252:19
264:10,21 266:20	nasty 255:6,9	opening 272:25	260:14 264:5
metals 258:5,6	nec 264:12	opportunity 300:25	274:4 292:13 295:22 300:5
Miguel 250:17	necessarily 280:25 293:14		
260:15 272:4	296:15 299:7	order 286:14	pay 293:16
288:25 298:23 302:12	necessitated	Oregon 275:24	paying 286:14,18
Mike 280:4,6	294:15 295:5	original 303:12, 18,19 304:2	<b>peel</b> 270:18
282:14 283:13,18	<b>nod</b> 252:11	•	peeling 267:9,14 272:19 273:12
289:22 290:2	noise/inaudible	overspill 292:23	
milling 287:19	275:4	oxidize 264:12 265:2 266:19,21	penalty 303:14
Milstein 272:23	normal 299:12	oxidize/corrode	pending 253:14
minor 256:20	north 297:1	264:9	<b>people</b> 250:7,18, 20,22 273:20
minutes 254:25	notice 303:23	oxidizing 265:3	278:17 290:9,13
270:5 289:8,14	notify 303:15		301:15,16,23
missed 283:10	304:7	P	percent 268:9,10,
modify 274:23	number 250:10	paid 281:15	23,24 269:4,23 270:9 286:7
month 303:13	272:13,17	284:22,25 286:12	percentage
months 269:19	0	pain 265:14	268:4,7,18,20
Morgan 250:22		paint 265:19,20	269:1 286:4,6
morning 250:5	oath 252:5,25	266:5 267:8,10,	perfectly 252:20
255:4,6,7	object 273:6	14,18 272:18 273:12 292:24	perform 297:9
Moseley 250:6	294:22	paint's 270:13	performed
251:1,6,14 254:6 257:11 260:12,16	objection 257:9, 18 277:20 294:17,	-	296:14 297:6
263:15 274:8,15	23	painted 268:5,11,	performs 281:16

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CA vs	S SUNSEEKER	<b>ENTERPRISES II</b>	NC
			T

C MOSELEY, J i9 Index: referring..sort

296:6,13	299:12	provided 255:7	react 298:21
period 299:21	pre 256:9 291:14	286:21 303:12	reacts 288:19
periods 257:24	pre-pandemic 256:9,10	provisions 304:17	read 260:13,20 264:2 294:13,16,
perjury 303:14	·	pull 260:6 273:25	18,21 295:16,19
<b>person</b> 263:18 267:8 278:6,16	pre-treated 287:20	274:19 275:12 293:23	303:9 reading 263:7
281:24 297:25 301:5,17,19 302:2	preference 303:16	put 259:3 266:20 299:10	294:25
personal 300:7	preparation		ready 264:4,6
302:9	254:13 255:1,10	putting 296:7 298:3	real 267:10 272:2
personally	prepare 254:1		reason 256:12,1
300:19	present 251:21	Q	recall 255:12
photographs 296:21	preserved 288:20		262:2,8 267:4,6, 16 268:7 271:13
	pressure 262:13	question 252:17, 23 253:1,4,7,13,	272:16 273:11
physical 257:3	presume 296:7	14 257:12,14	280:10 281:23
piece 263:23	302:8	259:2,23 261:5	284:8 290:9
264:3 288:16	332.3	268:15 272:9	291:17 297:20 298:12,15 299:14
298:4,7,8,18,20	pretty 266:1 280:10	276:19,21,23,25 277:6,25 284:8	290.12,15 299.14 16
<b>pieces</b> 291:24		294:23 300:16	
Plaintiff 250:18	previously 253:9 274:6,11	302:4	receipt 304:5
plaintiff's 260:8	price 288:4	question's 259:9	receive 286:8 287:7
263:10 274:1 293:24	prior 270:22	questions 252:16	recently 280:5
	299:17	300:10 301:8	•
plastic 262:19,22, 23	prod 281:19	302:22	recognize 252:5
_	•	quickly 265:25	recollection
podiatrist 278:15	produce 258:24 259:6 303:22	quit 280:10	287:5
point 273:3 289:1			record 252:9
points 289:21	produced 274:7	R	289:18 303:25 304:14
<b>pole</b> 288:17,18,20 296:3 299:12	product 264:9 266:13 273:2	rag 265:10	recorded 289:15
poles 298:14	275:15,17 277:3 294:8	ran 286:25	recording 250:1
299:9	products 276:17	range 282:18	refer 277:18
possibly 258:8	•	rate 281:18,22	reference 255:5
potential 286:19	<b>projects</b> 282:16, 19,22 283:3,4,14	284:18	258:15 263:5
potentially 293:6	protect 264:16	rated 275:2,10,15	referenced 260: 263:10 274:1
pouring 292:10	265:4	rated.' 275:11	293:24
powder 258:21	protecting 278:18	rates 281:14,16	referencing 280:25
259:5	<b>provide</b> 280:17	rating 270:3,4	
power 261:2 270:14,21 271:8	299:23	275:16,18	referral 291:4

291:5	ringer 281:5	sealed 292:3,4	Shorthand 251:8
referring 258:13	ringing 281:6	sec 260:13	shrug 252:11
276:3	role 280:13	seeds 273:2	sic 263:14
relationship	room 251:21	self-	side 293:1
278:9 283:21 293:13	257:5	extinguishing	sign 303:9,10
relieved 304:2	rough 268:3	296:10,11	sim 264:14
Telleved 304.2	Ruiz 250:17	<b>sell</b> 276:12,14	
remember	251:13 254:10	277:3,8,11 278:6	similar 272:9
271:17 272:18 274:12,13,16	257:11,14,17,20	280:8 287:11,13, 24	simple 272:24
283:8 284:3,12	260:6,9,10,19		273:4
286:14,16 290:5,	261:6,13,15,18 263:8,11,12,21	selling 276:21 277:13 286:25	simpler 268:15
11 291:8 298:10	264:7 273:9,25		simply 264:15
remote 250:6,12	274:2,5,17 278:2	sends 258:25	Sisson's 287:13,
remotely 251:20	279:15,23 289:2, 12,14,20 290:1	sense 253:2 271:2,6 278:5	25
remove 265:21	292:15,17,19		Sissons 283:19
rent 256:16	293:22,25 294:1,	sentence 263:1 264:8,15 266:13,	286:8,21,24
	21 295:2,8,11 296:1 300:6,11,	25 274:18 275:1	287:7,10 288:11,
repeat 257:15 278:1 281:5 295:8	17,23 301:10,13,	congrato 250:12	13,22 293:11
298:24 302:20	19,22 302:1,14,	separate 259:12 260:1 271:7	294:6 296:2,5,12 297:6,9,25 299:24
rephrase 268:13	16,22,24 303:3,	277:16	sit 257:24
-	17,24	separately	
replace 265:5	rules 251:17	259:11 302:2	site 255:23 259:15
reporter 251:3,4, 8 252:4,8 303:20	run 275:25	Serrano 251:3	sits 293:6
304:1		<b>service</b> 276:17	<b>slow</b> 252:1
reporter's 303:9	<u> </u>	277:4	<b>soap</b> 266:1
Reporters 250:14	eala 277:14 270:0	services 280:18	sold 277:12
•	<b>sale</b> 277:14 278:8, 23,24	281:2,12 282:25	280:20
represent 250:16	sales 263:18	set 257:23 266:8	solution 265:23
require 303:8	277:19 278:11,16,	284:17 286:4 288:3,4	solvents 293:5
responses 252:10	19 280:25 285:25 286:9,19 287:8	sets 301:17	sons 291:11
	301:20		sooner 253:12
result 256:18	Santa 250:9,23	shape 270:14	sort 252:11
retired 290:24	scope 281:2,11	shareholder 285:18	255:17 259:13,18
retirement 285:8	_		260:5 262:9
reveal 254:6	<b>Scrape</b> 266:12	<b>ship</b> 277:15 280:12	264:21 265:17 266:7 268:5
revealing 254:19	<b>screen</b> 260:16	shipments	269:25 270:9
review 288:22	scroll 260:23	262:23	271:8,12 272:10
303:14 304:9	262:17 263:22 295:20	short 260:14	278:6,9,23 281:2 286:18 288:12
reviewed 255:1,		264:5 274:4	292:8,17 293:3,5,
10	sealant 269:20,21	289:3,17 292:13	12 294:14 298:19
		295:22 300:5	300:25

<b>sounded</b> 275:19	Spyrison 290:24	stream 283:18	surfaces 264:10,
sounds 261:16 272:16	<b>stages</b> 267:25	streamline 283:18	21 267:18 268:19 sustainability
<b>sp</b> 281:4	<b>stain</b> 265:14 269:17	<b>strike</b> 273:6	269:15
speak 254:13,23	staining 256:24	stuff 285:10	swear 251:4
301:23	257:1,4	sturdy 262:25	<b>swore</b> 252:4
speaking 301:6	stands 266:4	<b>Su</b> 276:4 277:10,	<b>sworn</b> 251:7
<b>specific</b> 258:9 283:6	start 261:11 301:3	18 278:20,22 279:5,8,24	synonymous 275:16
specifically	<b>started</b> 253:25 273:1	<b>Su's</b> 278:4	system 282:24
261:3 speculate 277:24	<b>state</b> 250:7,9,16, 19,21,23 257:10,	substance 254:19	T
speculation 294:24	21 303:24 stated 263:2	suggested 278:10	taking 252:9
<b>SPF</b> 255:17,19	statement 264:17	<b>Sun</b> 273:15,18	253:17
256:1,12,18 257:7,17 258:4,20 259:6,10,19,24	266:16,23 267:3 275:14 293:10	<b>Sunfire</b> 260:11 281:19 294:2,3	talk 252:14 254:20 255:17 268:2 293:22
262:3,10 263:19	states 303:8	sunfiredefensen	talked 274:10
264:20 265:16,21 267:17 268:4,10,	steel 263:3	w.com 275:21 276:8	289:21
18,19 269:2,21,25 270:8,20 271:11,	step 258:19 stipu 302:25	Sunseeker 250:7	talking 254:18 259:10 298:8
14 272:10 276:12,	stipulate 302:13	276:1,11,16 277:2 278:10 280:15,18	team 280:5
18 277:4,8,11 278:4,6,23 279:8	stipulated	281:3,8,11,12,16	technical 288:19
280:1,8 282:20,22 283:3 287:4,11,24	304:11,16	282:1,9,17 283:14 284:17 285:19	tells 295:17
290:4,16 291:20 292:1,7 296:3,18	stipulation 300:13 303:4	286:21 288:12 289:7 302:6,7	temperature 258:16,21,25
297:10,25 298:19, 25 300:2	<b>stop</b> 261:13 263:23	Sunseeker-pl_ 00127 274:7	259:5,25 <b>tend</b> 262:24
<b>spill</b> 292:24	<b>stopped</b> 256:12	Sunseeker-pl_	term 265:1 269:14
<b>spillage</b> 256:20, 21,22 292:7,21,25	291:14	<b>01458</b> 263:14	terms 280:24
spilled 292:22	<b>storage</b> 255:25 256:1 257:5 291:12,15	Sunseeker-pl_ 0154 263:14	test 296:5 297:6,7 10,25 298:18
<b>spoke</b> 254:12	store 255:18,20	Superior 250:8	tested 294:11
<b>spoken</b> 255:13	256:1 259:10,24	supplier 263:2,5	296:3
<b>spray</b> 271:5	262:3 291:20 stored 256:6	supplies 258:9	testified 251:9 287:3
<b>sprayed</b> 257:10 265:16	259:12 262:19,22	supply 260:3	testify 302:4
sprinkler 282:24	291:23 292:2,4	<b>surface</b> 257:5 266:20 270:16,17,	testifying 252:6
sprinklers 281:20	<b>storing</b> 256:12,18 259:10	22	302:6,7,8,9

testimony 253:19 278:5 301:2	told 271:2 275:12	undercutting 288:9	voice 279:21
	top 275:2,10		voices 279:10
esting 291:25 298:2,24,25	topics 301:24	understand 252:21 253:1 259:2 277:25	w
299:2,5,9,17,19 ests 296:13,17,	torch 298:4 299:10	278:4 287:23 294:12	waiting 292:17
22,25 297:3,15	total 285:11		waived 304:17
hick 299:12,14	tournament 261:16 262:3	understanding 258:19 259:8	Walsh 283:16
hin 258:8	297:22,24 299:6	286:24	289:23 290:3,22
hing 253:13 259:22 260:5,18,	transcript 303:12	understood 252:13,23,24	<b>wanna</b> 260:6
20 261:4 264:23 265:24 272:24	304:2,8 transportation	253:7 280:23 301:10	wanted 276:6 277:15 289:20
277:16 288:16,17 292:11 294:19	262:12	unidentified	Warren 263:25
298:3 299:8	treat 288:16 300:4	279:10	wash 270:14,21
hings 260:4	treated 288:20	unreal 275:13	271:8
264:24 267:24,25 274:19 302:1	296:3 298:18,25 299:23	<b>update</b> 294:9,15 295:5	water 266:2 waterbase
hought 286:15	trial 303:13,23	updated 295:3	265:23
hrow 271:4	true 253:19	updates 293:21	ways 270:2
<b>ime</b> 250:11	turn 265:2 274:3	294:8	website 273:14,
251:16 253:10,24 255:24 256:6,10,	turned 281:5 Tusan 250:20	utility 261:17 284:20 285:24,25	23 274:14,20,24 275:5,8,20,25
16 257:25 262:13 284:11,24 290:23	279:19	286:20 288:17,18, 20 297:22 298:14	276:8 <b>weed</b> 296:7 298:3
291:18 293:14,15,	type 258:7 261:4		
20 294:11 295:16	264:24 266:22		weeks 303:13
296:2,11 297:21,	298:12 301:22		wet 299:21
24 299:20 300:6,7 imes 271:16,18,	types 258:1 296:17	vague 257:9,18 277:21 294:24	Wetmore 263:15 25 274:10,23
22 272:12 290:18, 20	<b>typical</b> 264:19	varies 282:2	Wetmore's
	270:8	variety 270:2	266:18
<b>Fimperley</b> 261:1, 20 284:15	typically 264:14, 15 267:17 269:4	verbal 252:10	whatever's 303:6
imperley8	274:22 282:6	versa 288:9 302:7	wife 272:21 273:7
261:20		versus 250:7	window 265:17,
<b>「iny</b> 286:25 287:4, 11,17	U	vice 288:9 298:10,	18,22 266:9 281:20
oday 250:13	<b>Uh-huh</b> 251:18	11 302:6	windows 265:6,8
251:3 253:19 254:2 289:21	261:14,22 262:1 263:4 266:7	videoconferenci ng 250:13	9,14,20 266:6 271:10 272:25
oday's 250:10	268:17 270:12 279:22 301:25	videos 296:21	witnessed 297:9
254:14,20 255:2, 12,14	un 280:23	viscosity 269:13	14,25

251:19

**wood** 267:25 288:16 298:4,7,8, 12,15,18,25

299:16,17,23 300:2

**word** 253:3 265:3,

work 284:23 286:3,19

workbench

291:24

worked 273:21 274:14 282:17 285:23 286:25

**working** 282:12 295:14,20

works 282:11 283:22

write 293:19,21 294:6

writing 293:12

written 252:9 279:4 280:17 281:1,10 294:7

Υ

Yakovich 280:4,6 281:7,11 282:1,7, 15 283:13 289:22 290:2 291:1

Yakovich's 280:13

year 256:8 269:19 282:12 286:24 291:17,18,19 293:9,10 297:21

**years** 256:8 283:25 285:1 295:24 297:14,17

Yesterday 254:22

Z

**Zoom** 250:12



From: DJ Wetmore <dj@sunfiredefense.com> Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2019 3:27 PM

**To:** Harris, Warren < WHarris@jensenhughes.com> **Subject:** Re: SPF-3000 Clear Spray Purchase Inquiry

Hello Warren,

I've gotten approval and am sending you both a small liquid sample and a couple of cedar shingles, one treated and one untreated for demonstration purposes. I am using the address listed in your email footer and directing the package to your attention.

Thank you for your patience on this. Please let me know when you have received them.

Regards,

DJ Wetmore

Office: (833) 466-2876 x.102

Cell: (805) 316-4344 sunfiredefense.com 4300 Promenade Way Marina Del Rey, CA 90292



From: Harris, Warren < WHarris@jensenhughes.com>

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 8:14:39 AM

To: DJ Wetmore

Subject: RE: SPF-3000 Clear Spray Purchase Inquiry

Dear DJ:

Just a quick note to inquire about the possibility of receiving a board and a liquid sample of the SPF 3000.

Warren

WARREN F. HARRIS, BS, PI

Fire Protection Consultant/Chemist

23109 55<sup>th</sup> Avenue W., Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043 O: +1 425-775-5550| C: +1 206-618-2746 wharris@iensenhughes.com

jensenhughes.com

From: DJ Wetmore < dj@sunfiredefense.com>

Sent: Friday, March 01, 2019 8:57 AM

**To:** Harris, Warren < <u>WHarris@jensenhughes.com</u>> **Subject:** Re: SPF-3000 Clear Spray Purchase Inquiry

Hello Warren,

We have had little issue with adhesion over other coatings or discoloration. The product does however, oxidize/corrode metal surfaces if directly applied. Typically we simply mask or

otherwise protect such areas during application. If a product that is corrosive during application is a deal breaker for you, we are likely not the right fit. If it's something you can work around, please let me know and I will see about sending a sample.

Regards,

DJ Wetmore

Office: (833) 466-2876 x.102

Cell: (805) 316-4344 sunfiredefense.com 4300 Promenade Way Marina Del Rey, CA 90292



From: Harris, Warren < WHarris@jensenhughes.com >

Sent: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 4:22:10 PM

To: DJ Wetmore

Subject: RE: SPF-3000 Clear Spray Purchase Inquiry

Dear DJ:

Thank you for the prompt reply. Our primary concern is three fold. Firstly the performance of paint adhesion following some duration post treatment, and the potential discoloration of stucco or cementitious systems that may be subject to overspray. In addition we may need to satisfy the FAA's concerns regarding the corrosion of metallic substrates as it associated with aircraft that may be subject to overspray in windy areas. It is primarily this reason that we need liquid sample for corrosivity testing.

In any case, if you are able to provide a coated piece of wood that would be a nice start, but a quartsized liquid sample was our main wish. Please mail any samples to the address listed below. If there is a fee associated with this service, I will be glad to provide prompt payment.

Sincerely, Warren Harris

WARREN F. HARRIS, BS, PI Fire Protection Consultant/Chemist

23109 55<sup>th</sup> Avenue W., Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043 O: +1 425-775-5550| C: +1 206-618-2746 wharris@jensenhughes.com

jensenhughes.com

SUNSEEKER-PL\_01456

From: DJ Wetmore <dj@sunfiredefense.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 3:04 PM

**To:** Harris, Warren < <u>WHarris@jensenhughes.com</u>> **Subject:** Re: SPF-3000 Clear Spray Purchase Inquiry

Hi Warren,

Thank you for reaching out.

We are not in the habit of sending out product samples in liquid form, although we are glad to send you a piece of your desired substrate treated with our product.

We typically oversee our projects from start to finish working directly with the contractor to provide our product applications as a service, rather than a distributed or shelved product. There are some exceptions to this, generally with commercial/industrial clients.

If you are able to provide with me some details on the project, including what materials are being used, and what standards they must meet, I may be able to shed some light on whether or now what we offer might be a good fit.

Regards,

DJ Wetmore (805) 316-4344

Defending Life & Property From Fire

Sent from my iPhone - Small buttons; big thumbs. Please forgive brevity and typos.

On Feb 26, 2019, at 12:22 PM, Harris, Warren < WHarris@iensenhughes.com > wrote:

Dear Mr. Wetmore:

Hope all is well. I'm a consultant working with worldwide fire protection company in the Seattle area. Some recent projects have provoked us to search for an exterior grade, spray-applied fire-retardant for consideration in preparing architectural specifications. Pursuant to this request, I am inquiring about possibly purchasing a quart or gallon mock-up sample of your SPF-3000 Clear Spray. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Warren Harris

WARREN F. HARRIS, BS

Fire Protection Consultant/Chemist

23109 55<sup>th</sup> Avenue W., Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043 O: +1 425-775-5550| C: +1 206-618-2746 wharris@jensenhughes.com

jensenhughes.com

## dausersb

From:

James Moseley <jim@sunfiredefense.com>

Sent:

Friday, July 20, 2018 10:13 AM

To:

Andrew Elkins; DJ Wetmore

Cc:

Dalbey, Christopher

Subject:

website

Hi Andrew & DJ,

Could you guys do me a favor and pull a couple of things off of the website. Anywhere it might say BEST RATED OR TOP RATED. There's not a specific rating system like that so you can put our specific approvals in it's place. The ASTM 30 minute burn pass as well as the lightning strike simulation test with BPA / Dept. Of Energy.

Thanks so much,

James E. Moseley, Founder & CEO Sun Fire Defense/ Sunseeker Enterprises, Inc. 4300 Promenade Way, #116 Marina Del Rey, Ca. 90292 818-486-4662





From: Annmarie Aronoff monkeydoctortv@gmail.com

Subject: Re: Registration

Date: August 15, 2018 at 10:41 AM
To: timperley8 timperley8@aol.com

Cc: jim@sunfiredefense.com, ken.sissons@sebiteam.com

Hi Dave,

Thanks for the note. I let Ken know that our supplier has stated we must use the steel containers. The formula cannot be stored in plastic. Our supplier gave me the name of the company they use for unlined UN 1263 steel containers. This is what must be used for SPF3000 formula. Therefore I will order these going forward.

Thanks, Annmarie

Annmarie Aronoff +1.213.284.0181

On Aug 15, 2018, at 10:37 AM, timperley8 < timperley8@aol.com > wrote:

Hi Annmarie,

We are assigned Hole 4, it's a nice little par 3.

Our team will be teeing off of Hole 15.

We are looking at changing our 5gal. containers.

The ones that we are currently using are leaking and not holding up.

If you have any ideas let us know, other wise we will look for better quality cans.

**Thanks** 

dat

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Annmarie Aronoff

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 15, 2018 9:13 AM **To:** <a href="mailto:timperley8">timperley8</a>; <a href="mailto:jim@sunfiredefense.com">jim@sunfiredefense.com</a>

Cc: ken.sissons@sebiteam.com

Subject: Registration

Hi Dave,

If you and Ken plan to play in the tournament, you need to register your names with Andrea. Please call her today to do so. I just spoke to Jake Carter and he said that they don't have you registered to play yet.

Thanks, Annmarie

Annmarie Aronoff +1.213.284.0181

On Aug 13, 2018, at 5:35 PM, timperley8 < timperley8@aol.com > wrote:

The person to call is Andrea her number is 503-262-9125 She works for the 125 IBEW DAT



## Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Annmarie Aronoff

**Sent:** Monday, August 13, 2018 2:16 PM

To: ken.sissons@sebiteam.com; Dave Timperley

Subject: Website

Hi Ken,

Do you have a website address for the tournament? Or is it just what's on the

Local union website?

Annmarie Aronoff +1.213.284.0181





December 28, 2021

Jim Moseley Sun FireDefense 4300 Promenade Way #116 Marina Del Rey, CA

Dear Jim,

I am writing to inform you of the first-hand experience we have had with testing the Sun Fire Defense SPF3000 clear fire retardant clear spray at BPA. We began testing the Sun FireDefense product nearly Six years ago on untreated cedar poles at Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). BPA gave us two cedar poles for a side by side comparison testing. We treated one pole and did not treat the other. The treated pole was allowed to dry, and then we subjected it to two large weed burner propane torches. After 45 minutes of continuous heating the pole would not sustain a flame. The other pole exactly the opposite results it would sustain a flame. This was the result that we were expecting. The importance of this is in the application of wildfires. Wildfires have a fairly quick burn over rate at a lower sustainable temperature than a propane torch. The fire burned completely around the pole. The pole incurred light surface charring, however no structural damage.

We then treated a 10' x 10" structure, one was treated with the SPF3000 product and the other half not. The same results as the poles. This supports the claims made by Sun FireDefense that this product would protect the entire building, but if just egress ports were treated it would allow inhabitants to have a save path to escape. The next most astonishing item was six months later. The poles used in the test were left in the ground over the winter, a winter where we had over 50 inches of rain and snow. In the spring we did a high voltage test to simulate a lighting strike or a grounding event. We dug up the same poles and used them for the test. The same results the pole treated 6 moths earlier and subjected to 50 inches of rain would not sustain a flame. Where as the other pole during the same test caught fire and continued to burn.

The results of these tests are the reason that BPA purchased the material and is using it in the field. They need one hour to treat a pole ahead of a fire and that pole would be fire resistant. Protecting the line from wildfire damage. The result of these tests was superior fire protection to that of other

competitor products that normally last a matter of hours or until the next rain. The Sun Fire Defense SPF3000 product has lasted for three years during and during this time we have been testing for longevity. Over the last Six years, we have periodically applied the same process used previously, and every time the poles would not sustain a flame. As an engineer in the power industry I am very impressed with these results and longevity of the product and our testing lead to sales of SPF 3000 to BPA and poles are currently being treated with the product.

Sincerely

Ken L. Sissons